学位論文 博士(工学)

慣性センサを用いた 妊娠期腰背部痛改善のための 動作および筋活動の評価法

2018年2月

慶應義塾大学大学院理工学研究科

森野 佐芳梨

別表 5 (3)

報告番号	甲								
		ΖĴ	第	号	氏 名		森野	佐芳梨	
	名:								
領性センフ	トを用	いたち	任娠期腰背	部痛改善	のための動	動作および角	5活動	の評価法	
(内容の要旨	<u>,</u>								
		て周辺	産期の安寧	保証への	取り組みな	がなされる「	户,妊	娠期腰背音	『痛が『
題視されてい	いる.	特に好	妊娠期は胎	児への影	響が危惧さ	され、動作構	義式の	変更による	る身体負
荷軽減といっ	った非	侵襲的	的な疼痛改	善アプロ	ーチが求め	められる. し	しかし	,現状の題	临床現 場
では、限られ									
視される. ま が難しい。 #									
が難しい. 粘 の同時収縮か									
						卵る可能住る		,	
にした上で、	,			. , , ,					
腰背部痛有調									
抗筋の同時収	収縮を	考慮	した筋活動	推定手法	を提案し,	腰背部痛夜	肓訴妊	婦特有の重	動作にお
ける筋活動評				り,筋電詞	+を用いず	゙IMUのみ゙	での動	作計測と角	第活動の
両者の評価法			-						
			の背景と目 5 タム社の			います	њ. 1		о <u></u>
- 弗2早でに 記述式質問て				,		う前向きコス モ注を用いて			
記述れ貢向、 発動作を調査									
ろ日常生活重									
						られた角速			て, 椅-
からの立ち上	-				••••••		• • •		
る体型変化を				, ,	- • •		••••		-
り時のピッチ							と反映	した指標に	こより胆
背部痛有訴如						レに. め、妊娠期の	り休香	抽加し休る	重己、 位号
変化を表現し									
変化と公元と 筋とその拮抗				=		,		– . – .	• • • –
Algorithm (G									
た.									
· ·	, .,					動作特徴にお			
状況を第4章									
ち体幹ピッヲ 度のそれぞオ									
度のそれそす の可能性のあ								,	
		•••	本論文の結			~ — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	- ~ _		~

Thesis Abstract

No.

Number No. "Office use only Thesis Title Evaluation method of motion and muscle activation by using an inertial measurement unit for management of low back pain during pregnancy Thesis Summary In this dissertation, an evaluation method for motion and muscle activation is proposed for th management of low back pain (LBP) during pregnancy using an inertial measurement unit (IMU). Women experience specific anatomical and physiological changes during pregnancy. These change cause movement instability and impose excessive strain on body muscles, such as the erector spina (ES), contributing to LBP. Physical modalities based on assessments of both motion analysis in clinical practice, therapists usually conduct an objective assessment by observation, which results i low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and doe not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for the stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women to investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnancy. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy. In chapter 5, the	Registration	□("KOU")	□ "OTSU"								
Evaluation method of motion and muscle activation by using an inertial measurement unit for management of low back pain during pregnancy Thesis Summary In this dissertation, an evaluation method for motion and muscle activation is proposed for th management of low back pain (LBP) during pregnancy using an inertial measurement unit (IMU). Women experience specific anatomical and physiological changes during pregnancy. These change cause movement instability and impose excessive strain on body muscles, such as the erector spina (ES), contributing to LBP. Physical modalities based on assessments of both motion analysis an observations of muscle activation are effective for the management of LBP. Regarding motion analysis in clinical practice, therapists usually conduct an objective assessment by observation, which results i low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and doe not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for the stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women t investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than specia motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnanc are proposed. Finally, based on th proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimat	Number		*Office use only	Name	Saori Morino						
management of low back pain during pregnancy Thesis Summary In this dissertation, an evaluation method for motion and muscle activation is proposed for th management of low back pain (LBP) during pregnancy using an inertial measurement unit (IMU). Women experience specific anatomical and physiological changes during pregnancy. These change cause movement instability and impose excessive strain on body muscles, such as the erector spina (ES), contributing to LBP. Physical modalities based on assessments of both motion analysis an observations of muscle activation are effective for the management of LBP. Regarding motion analysis in clinical practice, therapists usually conduct an objective assessment by observation, which results i low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and doe not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for the stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women t investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than specia motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnanc are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on th proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to L	Thesis Title				I						
management of low back pain during pregnancy Thesis Summary In this dissertation, an evaluation method for motion and muscle activation is proposed for th management of low back pain (LBP) during pregnancy using an inertial measurement unit (IMU). Women experience specific anatomical and physiological changes during pregnancy. These change cause movement instability and impose excessive strain on body muscles, such as the erector spina (ES), contributing to LBP. Physical modalities based on assessments of both motion analysis an observations of muscle activation are effective for the management of LBP. Regarding motion analysis in clinical practice, therapists usually conduct an objective assessment by observation, which results i low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and doe not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for the stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women t investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than specia motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnanc are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on th proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch an	Evaluation method of motion and muscle activation by using an inertial measurement unit for										
Thesis Summary In this dissertation, an evaluation method for motion and muscle activation is proposed for th management of low back pain (LBP) during pregnancy using an inertial measurement unit (IMU). Women experience specific anatomical and physiological changes during pregnancy. These change cause movement instability and impose excessive strain on body muscles, such as the erector spina (ES), contributing to LBP. Physical modalities based on assessments of both motion analysis an observations of muscle activation are effective for the management of LBP. Regarding motion analysis in clinical practice, therapists usually conduct an objective assessment by observation, which results i low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and due not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women t investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnancy are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexe that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on th proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a											
In this dissertation, an evaluation method for motion and muscle activation is proposed for the management of low back pain (LBP) during pregnancy using an inertial measurement unit (IMU). Women experience specific anatomical and physiological changes during pregnancy. These change cause movement instability and impose excessive strain on body muscles, such as the erector spina (ES), contributing to LBP. Physical modalities based on assessments of both motion analysis an observations of muscle activation are effective for the management of LBP. Regarding motion analysis in clinical practice, therapists usually conduct an objective assessment by observation, which results i low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and doe not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of this Study. In chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women t investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnancy are proposed. Jinal guestion and the rotion of the trunk segment of LBP. Simultaneously, some indexes that felect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on th proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS condu											
In this dissertation, an evaluation method for motion and muscle activation is proposed for the management of low back pain (LBP) during pregnancy using an inertial measurement unit (IMU). Women experience specific anatomical and physiological changes during pregnancy. These change cause movement instability and impose excessive strain on body muscles, such as the erector spina (ES), contributing to LBP. Physical modalities based on assessments of both motion analysis an observations of muscle activation are effective for the management of LBP. Regarding motion analysis in clinical practice, therapists usually conduct an objective assessment by observation, which results i low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and doe not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of this Study. In chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women t investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnancy are proposed. Jinal guestion and the rotion and IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on th proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated for the prognancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LPP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate th	Thesis Summary										
management of low back pain (LBP) during pregnancy using an inertial measurement unit (IMU). Women experience specific anatomical and physiological changes during pregnancy. These change cause movement instability and impose excessive strain on body muscles, such as the erector spina (ES), contributing to LBP. Physical modalities based on assessments of both motion analysis an observations of muscle activation are effective for the management of LBP. Regarding motion analysis in clinical practice, therapists usually conduct an objective assessment by observation, which results i low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and doe not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for the stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women t investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than specia motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnancy are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on th proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change du											
Women experience specific anatomical and physiological changes during pregnancy. These change cause movement instability and impose excessive strain on body muscles, such as the erector spina (ES), contributing to LBP. Physical modalities based on assessments of both motion analysis an observations of muscle activation are effective for the management of LBP. Regarding motion analysis in clinical practice, therapists usually conduct an objective assessment by observation, which results i low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and doe not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for the stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women t investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnance are proposed unglumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexe that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change or BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, whic											
cause movement instability and impose excessive strain on body muscles, such as the erector spina (ES), contributing to LBP. Physical modalities based on assessments of both motion analysis an observations of muscle activation are effective for the management of LBP. Regarding motion analysis in clinical practice, therapists usually conduct an objective assessment by observation, which results i low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and doe not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for the stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women trinvestigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnance are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexe that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal mod											
(ES), contributing to LBP. Physical modalities based on assessments of both motion analysis an observations of muscle activation are effective for the management of LBP. Regarding motion analysis in clinical practice, therapists usually conduct an objective assessment by observation, which results i low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and doe not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for the stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women t investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnancy are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexe that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the re											
observations of muscle activation are effective for the management of LBP. Regarding motion analysis in clinical practice, therapists usually conduct an objective assessment by observation, which results i low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and doe not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for th stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women t investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnanc are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexe that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to		-	•								
in clinical practice, therapists usually conduct an objective assessment by observation, which results i low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and doe not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for the stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women t investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnancy are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexe that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on th proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the met											
low reliability. In contrast, the use of the IMU enables us to measure some motions objectively and doe not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for the stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women to investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnancy are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters											
not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation durin pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for the stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women to investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnancy are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters											
pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for the stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women to investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnance are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	not restrict the measurement environment. Moreover, the direct evaluation of muscle activation during										
 stabilization of the trunk segment is also difficult. Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women to investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnance are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters 	pregnancy is difficult because the setup for electromyography measurement is uncomfortable for										
Chapter 1 describes the introduction and the motivation of this study. In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women to investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnancy are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a rise factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from the result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	pregnant women, such that co-contraction activation of the ES and the rectus abdominis muscles for the										
In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women to investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnance are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters											
investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answer is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnance are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on th proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters											
is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnance are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from the result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	In chapter 2, a description of a prospective cohort study conducted on 275 pregnant women to										
motions that require extra task or effort is related to LBP during pregnancy. In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnance are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from the result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	investigate the activities related to LBP during pregnancy using a questionnaire with descriptive answers										
In chapter 3, the evaluation indexes of STS motion that affect lumbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnance are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	is presented. As a result, it is revealed that daily routine activity such as ways of STS rather than special										
are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from the result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	motions that red	quire extra ta	ask or effort is related to	LBP during	pregnancy.						
that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from the result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	In chapter 3, the	e evaluation	indexes of STS motion	that affect lu	mbopelvic pain (LPP) during pregnancy						
proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durind pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a rist factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from the result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshil among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	are proposed using lumbar angular velocity data obtained from an IMU. Simultaneously, some indexes										
BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP. In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	that reflect the change of body mass index (BMI) in pregnancy are proposed. Finally, based on the										
In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change durin pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	proposed indexes, it appears that the motion of the trunk of the pitch angle during STS and change of										
pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a ris factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	BMI during pregnancy have some relevance to LPP.										
factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from th result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	In chapter 4, a musculoskeletal model for pregnant women that reflect the characteristic change during										
result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA). Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	pregnancy, such as the weight gain, is developed to estimate the ES muscle activation, which is a risk										
Chapter 5 describes the motion analysis of STS conducted using an IMU to investigate the relationshi among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	factor of LBP. Then, the co-contraction activation of the ES and rectus abdominis is estimated from the										
among motion, muscle load, and LBP during pregnancy by applying the method proposed in chapters	result of the musculoskeletal model and electromyography (EMG) data using a genetic algorithm (GA).										
and 4. Subsequently, the relationships between motion evaluation indexes and ES muscle activation											
and between motion evaluation indexes and the intensity of LBP are presented. A statistically significar											
positive correlation is observed between the pitch angular velocity of the trunk movement and the E											
muscle activation, and between the muscle activation and the intensity of LBP.											
Chapter 6 describes the conclusion of this dissertation.	Chapter 6 desc	ribes the cor	nciusion of this dissertati	ion.							