Title	The mean derivatives and the absolute convergence of the Fourier series of a stochastic process
Sub Title	
Author	河田, 龍夫(Kawata, Tatsuo)
Publisher	慶應義塾大学理工学部
Publication year	1983
Jtitle	Keio Science and Technology Reports Vol.36, No.2 (1983. 2) ,p.11- 24
JaLC DOI	
Abstract	A criterion for the almost sure absolute convergence of the Fourier series of a peroidic stochastic process which has the mean derivatives is given and the result is applied to the sample properties of the process. A theorem on the mean derivative of a sine series is also given.
Notes	
Genre	Departmental Bulletin Paper
URL	https://koara.lib.keio.ac.jp/xoonips/modules/xoonips/detail.php?koara_id=KO50001004-00360002- 0011

慶應義塾大学学術情報リポジトリ(KOARA)に掲載されているコンテンツの著作権は、それぞれの著作者、学会または出版社/発行者に帰属し、その権利は著作権法によって 保護されています。引用にあたっては、著作権法を遵守してご利用ください。

The copyrights of content available on the KeiO Associated Repository of Academic resources (KOARA) belong to the respective authors, academic societies, or publishers/issuers, and these rights are protected by the Japanese Copyright Act. When quoting the content, please follow the Japanese copyright act.

# THE MEAN DERIVATIVES AND THE ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE OF THE FOURIER SERIES OF A STOCHASTIC PROCESS

Tatsuo KAWATA\*

(Received January 24, 1983)

### ABSTRACT

A criterion for the almost sure absolute convergence of the Fourier series of a peroidic stochastic process which has the mean derivatives is given and the result is applied to the sample properties of the process. A theorem on the mean derivative of a sine series is also given.

# 1. Introduction

Let  $X(t, \omega), t \in \mathbb{R}^1$ , be a complex valued stochastic process on a given probability space  $(\Omega, F, P)$ . Suppose that  $X(t, \omega)$  is measurable  $L \times F$  on  $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \Omega, L$  being the class of Lebesgue measurable sets on  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , and that for  $r \ge 1$ ,

(1.1)  $E|X(t,\omega)|^r < \infty,$ 

for every t and  $X(t, \omega)$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic:

(1.2) 
$$E|X(t+2\pi, \omega) - X(t, \omega)| = 0$$

for  $t \in R^1$ .

Furthermore we throughout assume that  $(T = [-\pi, \pi])$ 

(1.3) 
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} E|X(t,\omega)|^r dt < \infty.$$

In case we write  $X(t, \omega) \in L_P^r(T \times \Omega)$ . Let

(1.4) 
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n(\omega) e^{int}$$

be the Fourier series of  $X(t, \omega)$ , where

(1.5) 
$$C_n(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X(t, \omega) e^{-int} dt, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$$

<sup>\*</sup> Present address: 1-12-26-204 Shinohara-higashi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 222, Japan

In [1], the author has given some results on the almost sure convergence of the series

(1.6) 
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n|^k \alpha_n |C_n(\omega)|$$

 $(\alpha_n > 0)$  for some nonnegative integer k, and applied them to sample continuity or differentiability or  $X(t, \omega)$ .

Write

(1.7) 
$$M_r^{*(p)}(\delta) = M_r^{*(p)}(\delta, X) = \sup_{|h| \le \delta} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} E |\mathcal{A}_h^{(p)} X(t, \omega)|^r dt \right)^{1/r},$$

where p is a positive integer and  $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{(p)}X(t,\omega)$  is the p-th difference of  $X(t,\omega)$ :

(1.8) 
$$\Delta_{h}^{(p)}X(t,\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} (-1)^{p-k} {p \choose k} X(t+kh,\omega).$$

Let  $\phi(t)$  be a nondecreasing function on [0,1] such that either  $\phi(0)=0$  and  $\phi(t)/t$  is noninereasing on [0,1], or  $\phi(t)$  is identically 1 on [0,1].

One of the resuls obtained in [1] is the following.

THEOREM A. Let  $X(t, \omega)$  be of  $L_P^r(T \times \Omega)$  for some r > 1. Let k be a given nonnegative integer. If there exists a positive integer p such that

(1.9) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1+1/r} \left[ \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right]^{-1} M_r^{*(p)}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) < \infty,$$

then

(1.10) 
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n|^{k} \left[ \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right]^{-1} |C_{n}(\omega)| < \infty,$$

almost surely.

In this paper we give a relationship between  $M_r^{*(p)}(\delta)$  and the mean derivative of  $X(t, \omega)$  and apply it to the absolute convergence of Fourier series and the sample properties of  $X((t, \omega))$ .

# 2. Mean derivatives.

Let  $X(t, \omega) \in L_P^r(T \times \Omega)$  for some  $r \ge 1$ . If there is a stochastic process  $X'_M(t, \omega)$  of  $L_P^r(T \times \Omega)$  such that

(2.1) 
$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} E \left| \frac{X(t+h,\omega) - X(t,\omega)}{h} - X'_{\mathcal{M}}(t,\omega) \right|^{r} dt \to 0$$

as  $h\to 0$ , then  $X'_{\mathbf{M}}(t,\omega)$  is called the mean derivative in  $L^r(T\times\Omega)$  of  $X(t,\omega)$ . The *p*-th mean derivative  $X^{(p)}_{\mathbf{M}}(t,\omega)$  is defined successively in an obvious way.

Write

T. KAWATA

(2.2) 
$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}E|X(t,\omega)|^{r}dt\right)^{1/r}=||X(t,\omega)||_{r}$$

which is the norm of  $X(t, \omega)$  in  $L^r(T \times \Omega)$ .

We give two simple lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If  $X(t, \omega) \in L^r(T \times \Omega)$  has the mean derivative  $X'_M(t, \omega)$  in  $L^r(T \times \Omega)$ , then, as  $h \to 0$ ,

(2.3) 
$$\left\| \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_{t}^{t+h} X(u, \omega) du - X(t, \omega) \right| \right|_{\tau} = O(|h|).$$

*Proof.* The left hand side of (2.3) is not greater than

$$\left\| \frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{h} [X(u+t,\omega) - X(t,\omega) - uX'_{M}(t,\omega)] du \right\|_{r} + \frac{|h|}{2} \left\| X'_{M}(t,\omega) \right\|_{r}$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{|h|} \left\| \frac{X(u+t,\omega) - X(t,\omega)}{u} - X'_{M}(t,\omega) \right\|_{r} du + \frac{|h|}{2} \left\| X'_{M}(t,\omega) \right\|_{r} = o(|h|) + O(|h|) = O(|h|).$$

LEMMA 2. If  $X(t, \omega) \in L_P^r(T \times \Omega)$  has the mean derivative  $X_M(t, \omega)$  in  $L^r(T \times \Omega)$ , then

(2.4) 
$$X(t+h,\omega) - X(t,\omega) = \int_{t}^{t+h} X'_{\mathcal{M}}(u,\omega) du$$

almost everywhere in  $T \times \Omega$ , for each h.

Namely there is a subset G = G(h) of  $T \times \Omega$  such that (2.4) holds for  $(t, \omega) \in G$ and  $\mu(G) = 2\pi$ ,  $\mu$  being the  $m \times P$  measure and m the Lebesgue measure. We note that we can easily see that (2.4) holds on G if t is replaced by t+h by periodicity, when h is fixed. The dependence of G on h makes the difference between the almost sure absolute continuity and the existence of mean derivative in  $L(T \times \Omega)$ of  $X(t, \omega)$ .

*Proof.* Let h > 0 without loss of generality and let |k| < h. Write

$$S_k = ||Y(t, \omega)||_r,$$

where

$$Y(t, \omega) = \int_{t}^{t+h} \left[ \frac{X(u+k, \omega) - X(u, \omega)}{k} - X'_{\mathcal{M}}(u, \omega) \right] du.$$

Since

$$|Y(t,\omega)|^{r} \leq \int_{t}^{t+h} \left| \frac{X(u+k,\omega) - X(u,\omega)}{k} - X'_{M}(u,\omega) \right|^{r} du \cdot h^{r-1}$$
$$\leq \left| \left| \frac{X(u+k,\omega) - X(u,\omega)}{k} - X'_{M}(u,\omega) \right| \right|_{r}^{r} du \cdot h^{r-1}.$$

Hence

(2.5) 
$$S_{k}^{r} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} E|Y(t,\omega)|^{r} dt \leq 2\pi h^{r-1} \cdot ||Y(t,\omega)||_{r}^{r} \to 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow 0$  for a fixed h.

On the other hand,

$$S_{k} = \left| \left| \frac{1}{k} \int_{t+k}^{t+k+h} X(u, \omega) du - \frac{1}{k} \int_{t}^{t+h} X(u, \omega) du - \int_{t}^{t+h} X'_{M}(u, \omega) du \right| \right|_{r}$$
$$= \left| \left| \frac{1}{k} \int_{t+h}^{t+h+k} X(u, \omega) du - \frac{1}{k} \int_{t}^{t+k} X(u, \omega) du - \int_{t}^{t+h} X'_{M}(u, \omega) du \right| \right|_{r}.$$

By Lemma 1, this converges to

$$\left| \left| \mathbf{X}(t+h,\omega) - \mathbf{X}(t,\omega) - \int_{t}^{t+h} X'_{\mathbf{M}}(u,\omega) du \right| \right|_{r}$$

as  $k \rightarrow 0$ . Hence from (2.5) the last quantity should be zero. This gives us that (2.2) holds a.e. in  $T \times \Omega$ . for each h.

### 3. Mean derivatives and continuity modulus.

Let  $X(t, \omega)$  be of  $L_P^r(T \times \Omega), r \ge 1$ . Suppose  $X_M^{(p)}(t, \omega)$ , the mean derivative of order p of  $X(t, \omega)$  in  $L^r(T \times \Omega)$  exists, p being a positive integer.

Now let  $G_l = G_l(h)$  be the set on which (2.4) with  $X_M^{(l-1)}(t, \omega)$  in place of  $X(t, \omega)$   $l=1, 2, \dots, p$ , holds.

$$\Delta_h^{(2)}X(t,\omega) = \Delta_h^{(1)}X(t+h,\omega) - \Delta_h^{(1)}X(t,\omega)$$

is, by Lemma 2, equal in  $G_1$  to

$$\int_{t+h}^{t+2h} X'_{M}(t_{1},\omega)dt_{1} - \int_{t}^{t+h} X'_{M}(t_{1},\omega)dt_{1} = \int_{t}^{t+h} [X'_{M}(t_{1}+h,\omega) - X'_{M}(t_{1},\omega)]dt$$

which is again by Lemma 2 equal in  $G_1 \cap G_2$  to

$$= \int_{t}^{t+h} dt_1 \int_{t_1}^{t_1+h} X''_{\boldsymbol{M}}(t_2, \omega) dt_2.$$

Repeating this procedure, we have

(3.1) 
$$\mathcal{A}_{h}^{(p)}X(t,\omega) = \int_{t}^{t+h} dt_{1} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{1}+h} dt_{2} \cdots \int_{t_{p-1}}^{t_{p-1}+h} X_{M}^{(p)}(t_{p},\omega) dt_{p}$$

a.e. in  $G_0 = \bigcap_{l=1}^{p} G_l, \mu(G_0) = 2\pi.$ 

We now prove

THEOREM 1. If  $X(t, \omega)$  belongs to  $L_P^r(T \times \Omega)$  and has  $X_M^{(p)}(t, \omega)$  in  $L^r(T \times \Omega)$ ,  $r \ge 1$ , p being a positive integer, then

(3.2) 
$$M_r^{*(p)}(\delta) \leq 2^{p/r} ||X_M^{(p)}(t,\omega)||_r \cdot \delta^p.$$

*Proof.* From (3.1), we have, for any fixed h > 0, in  $G_0$ ,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{h}^{(p)}X(t,\omega)|^{r} &= \left[\int_{t}^{t+h} dt_{1}\cdots\int_{t_{p-1}}^{t_{p-1}+h} X_{M}^{(p)}(t_{p},\omega)|dt_{p}\right]^{r} \\ &\leq \int_{t}^{t+h} dt_{1}\cdots\int_{t_{p-1}}^{t_{p-1}+h} |X_{M}^{(p)}(t_{p},\omega)|^{r} dt_{p} \cdot \left[\int_{t}^{t+h} dt_{1}\cdots\int_{t_{p-1}}^{t_{p-1}+h} dt_{p}\right]^{r-1} \\ &= h^{p(r-1)} \int_{t}^{t+h} dt_{1}\cdots\int_{t_{p-1}}^{t_{p-1}+h} |X_{M}^{(p)}(t_{p},\omega)|^{r} dt_{p} \\ &= h^{p(r-1)} \int_{t}^{t+h} Y(t_{1},\omega) dt_{1}, \end{split}$$

where

$$Y(t_1, \omega) = \int_{t_1}^{t_1+h} dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{p-1}}^{t_{p-1}+h} |X_M^{(p)}(t_p, \omega)^r dt_p.$$

Since for a  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $f(u) \in L^1(T)$ ,

$$\left|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\int_{t}^{t+h}f(u)du\right| \leq |h|\int_{-\pi-h}^{\pi+h}|f(u)|du \leq 2|h|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}f(u)|du$$

for  $|h| < \pi$ , which is easily seen by the interchange of integration signs on the left hand side, we have, for small |h|,

$$\begin{split} ||\mathcal{A}_{h}^{(p)}X(t,\omega)||_{\tau}^{r} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} E |\mathcal{A}X_{h}^{(p)}(t,\omega)|^{r} dt \\ &\leq \frac{|h|^{p(r-1)}}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dt \int_{t}^{t+h} EY(t_{1},\omega) dt_{1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} |h|^{p(r-1)} |h| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} EY(t_{1},\omega) dt_{1}. \end{split}$$

Repeating the same arguments p-1 more times, we have

$$||\mathcal{A}_{h}^{(p)}X(t,\omega)\rangle||_{r}^{r} \leq 2^{p}|h|^{pr}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}E|X_{M}^{(p)}(t_{p},\omega)|^{r}dt_{p} = 2^{p}|h|^{pr}||X_{M}^{(p)}(t,\omega)||_{r}^{r}.$$

This is no more than (3.2).

### 4. Absolute convergence of the Fourier series of a periodic stochastic process.

Let  $\phi(t)$  be the function in 1. The combination of Theorem 1 and Theorem A immediately gives us the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. If  $X(t, \omega) \in L_P^r(T \times \Omega)$  and for some nonnegative integer k,  $X_M^{(k+1)}(t, \omega)$  exists in  $L^r(T \times \Omega)$ ,  $1 < r \leq 2$ , and

(4.1) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2+1/r} \left[ \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right]^{-1} < \infty,$$

then

(4.2) 
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n|^k \left[ \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right]^{-1} |C_n(\omega)| < \infty,$$

almost surely.

In particular, taking  $\phi(t)=t^{*}$ , we have the following collorary. For nonrandom case, this is thought of as a version of a known result on the absolute convergence of an absolutely continuous function which has the derivative belonging to  $L^{r}(T)$ . ([1] Cor. 2. p. 161, [4] Theorem 3.8, p. 242)

COLLORARY 1. If  $X(t, \omega) \in L_P^r(T \times \Omega)$  and for some nonnegative  $k, X_M^{(k+1)}(t, \omega)$  exists in  $L^r(T \times \Omega)$ , and

$$(4.3) 0 \leq \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{r},$$

then

(4.4) 
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n|^{k+\alpha} |C_n(\omega)| < \infty,$$

almost surely.

The condition (4.3) is of the best kind in some sense. Actually if  $\alpha = 1 - 1/r$ , there is an  $X(t, \omega) \in L_P^r(T \times \Omega)$  which has  $X_M^{(k+1)}(t, \omega)$  in  $L^r(T \times \Omega)$  and is such that the series in (4.4) diverges almost surely.

We show this for simplicity when k=0. Let

(4.5) 
$$f(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\sin nx}{n^{\alpha+1} \log n}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1.$$

This is abolutely continuous and  $f(x) = \int_{0}^{x} g(u) du$ , where

(4.6) 
$$g(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nx}{n^{\alpha} \log n}$$

which is defined for all  $-\pi \leq x \leq \pi$  except at x=0. We can show that  $g(x) \in L^r(T)$ and is the mean derivative of f(x) in  $L^r(T)$  for r>1, if  $\alpha \geq 1-1/r$ . More precisely

(4.7) 
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - g(x) \right|^r dx \leq C \frac{|h|^{(\alpha-1)^{r+1}}}{|\log |h||^r},$$

as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , where C is a constant independent of h.

The proof of this fact will be shown in a more general form in 6.

Let r>1 and  $\alpha=1-1/r$ . Then from (4.7), g(x) is the mean derivative of f(x)

in  $L^{r}(T)$  and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\alpha} b_n = \infty$$

where  $b_n = n^{-\alpha-1} (\log n)^{-1}$  is the sine coefficient of f(x).

Theorem 2 and Collorary 1 seem to be new, even for nonrandom case. The above example shows that Collorary 1 with k=0 for nonrandom case is no more true if  $\alpha=1-1/r$ .

(4.9)  $X(t, \omega) = X(\omega)f(t),$ 

where f(t) is the function (4.5) and  $X(\omega)$  is any random variable of  $L^{r}(\Omega)$ , gives a counter example for Collorary 1 with  $k=0, \alpha=1-1/r$ .

### 5. Sample properties of a periodic stochastic process

The author has shown the following theorem.

THEORFM B. Let  $X(t, \omega) \in L_P^r$ ,  $1 < r \le 2$ . Let k be a given nonnegative integer. Suppose there exists a positive integer p such that

(5.1) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1+1/r} \left[ \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right]^{-1} M_r^{*(p)}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) < \infty.$$

If  $X(t, \omega)$  is stochastically continuous, then there is a modification  $X_0(t, \omega)$  of  $X(t, \omega)$ with the property that  $X_0(t, \omega)$  has almost surely the k-th derivative belonging to the Lipschitz class  $\Lambda_{\phi}$ .

 $\Lambda_{\phi}$  is the class of functions f with continuity modulus  $\phi$ .

This theorem is applied to the case where the mean derivative of (k+1)-th order of  $X(t, \omega)$  exists in  $L^r(T \times \Omega)$  and the following theorem is immediately obtained, p=k+1 being taken, which corresponds to the critical case in some sense.

THEOREM 3. If the conditions in Theorem 2 are satisfied for  $1 < r \le 2$  and  $X(t, \omega)$  is stochastically continuous, then there is a modification  $X_0(t, \omega)$  of  $X(t, \omega)$ , of  $X(t, \omega)$ , which has almost surely the k-th derivative belonging to  $\Lambda_{\phi}$ .

### 6. A theorem on a sine series.

We shall prove a theorem on a sine series which implies (4.7) as a particular case.

Consider two series

(6.1) 
$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} \sin nx$$

and

(6.2) 
$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx.$$

Let r > 1 and suppose

where  $\Delta_n = a_n - a_{n+1}, \Delta^2 a_n = \Delta(\Delta a_n).$ 

It is noted that (6.3) implies  $\Delta a_n \ge 0$ . It is well known that (6.2) is convergent except at x=0 and g(x) is of  $L^1(T)$ . f(x) is then absolutely continuous and f'(x)=g(x) almost everywhere. We shall give a condition which assures that f(x) has the mean derivative g(x) in  $L^r(T)$ .

1

.

We begin with

LEMMA 3. Let p>1 and  $\beta$  be a real number >-1. For any  $b_n \ge 0, n=1,2,\cdots$ ,

(6.4) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( n^{-\beta-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{\beta} b_{k} \right)^{p} \leq C_{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{p},$$

where  $C_p$  is a constant depending only on p.

This is a particular case of the following inequality with  $a_n = \lambda_n^{-1/p} b_n$ ,  $\lambda_n = n^{\beta p/(p-1)}$ .

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left( \frac{1}{\Lambda_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k a_k \right)^p \leq \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n^p,$$

for  $p>1, a_n \ge 0, \lambda_n > 0$ , where  $\Lambda_n = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n$ . (See [2] p. 247, Theorem 332)

LEMMA 4. Let  $b_n \ge 0, p > 1$ . Then

(6.5) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{k} \right)^p \leq C_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} b_n^p,$$

and if  $b_n$  is nonincreasing, then we moreover have

(6.6) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} b_n^p \leq C_p' \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{k} \right)^p,$$

where  $C_p$  and  $C'_p$  are constants depending only on p.

*Proof.* For any 
$$a_n \ge 0$$
 with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{p'} < \infty, 1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,  
 $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( k^{1-2/p} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n} \right) a_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{1-2/p} a_k$ 

which is by the Hölder inequality not greater than

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^p n^{p-2}\right)^{1/p} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n^{-2(1-1/p)} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{1-2/p} a_k\right)^{p'}\right]^{1/p'}.$$

The second factor is, by Lemma 3, not greater then

$$\left(C_p\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_n^{p'}\right)^{1/p'}.$$

Therefore by the converse of the Hölder inequality we have (6.5).  $C_p$  may differ from each other.

(6.6) is easy to show. Actually

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{k} \geq \sum_{k=n}^{2n} \frac{b_k}{k} \geq b_{2n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n} \frac{1}{k} \geq Cb_{2n},$$

where C is an absolute constant. Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{k} \right)^p \ge C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} b_{2n}^p \ge C_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n)^{p-2} b_{2n}^p,$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{k} \right)^p \ge C_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)^{p-2} b_{2n+1}^p.$$

From both relations, we have (6.6).

We shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. Consider the series (6.1) and (6.2) with the condition (6.3). Suppose r>1 and  $na_n$  is nondecreasing. If

$$(6.7) \qquad \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} a_n^r < \infty,$$

then f(x) has the mean derivative g(x) in  $L^{r}(T)$ . More precisely

(6.8) 
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - g(x) \right|^{r} dx \leq C_{r} \sum_{n \geq |h| = 1} n^{r-2} a_{n}^{r}.$$

Before proving this theorem we give some notations and elementary facts we use. The proof of the fact that  $g(x) \in L^r(T)$  under the conditions in the theorem is contained in the course of the proof of the theorem.

Denote by  $D_n(x)$  and  $\overline{D}_n(x)$  the Dirichlet and the conjugate Dirichlet kernel

$$D_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \cos kx = \frac{\sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)x}{\sin\frac{x}{2}},$$
$$\bar{D}_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sin kx = \frac{\sin\frac{nx}{2}\sin\frac{n+1}{2}x}{\sin\frac{x}{2}}$$

and

(6.9) 
$$J_n(h) = \Delta(a_n(1 - \cos nh)/n),$$

(6.10) 
$$H_n(h) = \Delta(a_n (\sin nh - nh)/n)$$

Let h>0 without loss of generality. In what follows C's are constants which may be different on each occurrence. Note that  $a_n$  is nonincreasing.

Write

$$(6.11) b_n = a_n + n \varDelta a_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots$$

We see, for nh < 1,

$$|J_n(h)| \leq C \bigg[ \varDelta \bigg( \frac{a_n}{n} \bigg) n^2 h^2 + a_n h^2 \bigg] \leq C(a_n + n \varDelta a_n) h^2 = C b_n h^2,$$

and for  $nh \ge 1$ ,

$$|J_n(h)| \leq C \bigg[ \Delta \bigg( \frac{a_n}{n} \bigg) + \frac{a_n}{n} h \bigg] \leq C \frac{b_n h}{n}.$$

We also see that the same estimates for  $H_n(h)$  hold. Namely

(6.12) 
$$|J_n(h)| \leq C b_n h^2, \quad \text{for } nh \leq 1,$$
$$\leq C b_n h/n, \quad \text{for } nh > 1.$$

(6.13) 
$$\begin{aligned} &= C b_n h(h), & \text{for } nh \ge 1, \\ &|H_n(h)| \le C b_n h^2, & \text{for } nh \le 1, \\ &\le C b_n h/n, & \text{for } nh > 1. \end{aligned}$$

In this section we denote by  $||\cdot||_r$  the norm in  $L^r(T)$ ,  $\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\cdot|^r\right)^{1/r}$  (different from those in 1~4). We obviously have

(6.14) 
$$||D_n(x)||_r \leq C n^{1-1/r}, ||\bar{D}_n(n)||_r \leq C n^{1-1/r}.$$

Finally we note that if (6.5) is true with  $a_n$  in place of  $b_n$ , then it is, with  $b_n$  in (6.11). Because

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{k} = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a_k}{k} + \Delta a_k \right) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{k} + a_n$$

and hence

(6.15)  
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{k} \right)^p \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k} \right)^p + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} a_n^p \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} a_n^p \left( \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} b_n^p \right).$$

The similar thing is also true for (6.6), namely

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} b_n^p \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k} \right)^p + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} a_n^p$$

(6.16) 
$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} \left( \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k} \right)^p,$$

by (6.6) with  $a_n$  in place of  $b_n$ .

We now proceed to prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 4.

$$\frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}-g(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_n\sin nx\frac{\cos nh-1}{nh}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_n\cos nx\frac{\sin nh-nh}{nh}$$
$$=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n(x,h)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}B_n(x,h),$$

say. We have

(6.17) 
$$\left\| \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - g(x) \right\|_r \leq S_1 + S_2,$$

where

$$S_1 = S_1(h) = \left| \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(x, h) \right| \right|_r, S_2 = S_2(h) = \left| \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(x, h) \right| \right|_r.$$

We shall prove the theorem by direct computations of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Let h>0 and write  $N=[h^{-1}]$ . we first deal with  $S_1$ .

$$S_1 \leq \left| \left| \sum_{n \leq N} A_n(x, h) \right| \right|_r + \left| \left| \sum_{n > N} A_n(x, h) \right| \right|_r = I_1 + I_2,$$

say. By summation by parts, we see that

$$I_{1} \leq N \left| \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \bar{D}_{n}(x) J_{n}(h) \right| \right|_{r} + N ||\bar{D}_{N}(x) a_{N}(1 - \cos Nh)/N||_{r} \\ \leq N \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} |J_{n}(h)|||\bar{D}_{n}(x)||_{r} + a_{N}||\bar{D}_{n}(x)||_{r}.$$

Using the first inequalities of (6.12) and (6.14), we have

$$I_{1} \leq CN^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} b_{n} n^{1-1/r} + a_{N} N^{1-1/r}$$
  
=  $CN^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} a_{n} n^{1-1/r} + CN^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \Delta a_{n} n^{2-1/r} + a_{N} N^{1-1/r}$   
 $\leq CN^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} a_{n} n^{1-1/r} + a_{N} N^{1-1/r}$ 

which is not greater than  $Ca_N N^{1-1/r}$ , since *na* is nondecreasing. We thus have

(6.18) 
$$I_1 \leq C a_N N^{1-1/r} \leq C \left( \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n^r n^{r-2} \right)^{1/r},$$

for

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n^r n^{r-2} \ge a_n^r \sum_{n=N}^{2N} n^{r-2} \ge C a_N^r N^{r-1}.$$

For  $I_2$ , we apply the summation by parts, and we have

$$I_{2} \leq N \left| \left| \sum_{n \leq N} \overline{D}_{n}(x) J_{n}(h) \right| \right|_{r} + N ||\overline{D}_{N-1} a_{N}(1 - \cos Nh)/N||_{r}$$

$$\leq CN \left( \int_{|x| < N^{-1}} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} \overline{D}_{n}(x) J_{n}(h) \right|^{r} dx \right)^{1/r}$$

$$+ \left( \int_{|x| \geq N^{-1}} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} \overline{D}_{n}(x) J_{n}(h) \right|^{r} dx \right)^{1/r}$$

$$+ N ||\overline{D}_{N-1}(x) a_{N}(1 - \cos Nh)/N||_{r}$$

$$= I_{21} + I_{22} + I_{23},$$

say. We see as before

(6.19) 
$$I_{23} \leq a_N N^{1-1/r} \leq C \left( \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n^r n^{r-2} \right)^{1/r},$$

and

$$I_{21} \leq CN \left( \int_{|x| < N^{-1}} \left| \sum_{N \leq n \leq |x|^{-1}} \left|^{r} dx \right)^{1/r} + CN \left( \int_{|x| < N^{-1}} \left| \sum_{|x|^{-1} < n} \left|^{r} \right)^{1/r} \right| = I_{211} + I_{212},$$

say. Since  $|\overline{D}_n(x)| \leq Cn^2 |x|$ , we have, using the second relation of (6.12) and noting r > 1,

$$I_{211} \leq CN \left[ \int_{|x| < N^{-1}} \left( \sum_{N \leq n \leq |x|^{-1}} h|x| n b_n \right)^r dx \right]^{1/r} \\ \leq C \left[ \int_{|x| < N^{-1}} |x|^r \left( \sum_{n \leq |x|^{-1}} n b_n \right)^r dx \right]^{1/r}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{n \le |x|^{-1}} n b_n = \sum_{n \le |x|^{-1}} n a_n + \sum_{n \le |x|^{-1}} n^2 \Delta a_n ,$$

we have

$$I_{211} \leq C \left[ \int_{|x| \leq N^{-1}} (|x|^{-1} a_{[|x|^{-1}]})^r dx \right]^{1/r}$$
  
=  $C \left[ \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \int_{(k+1)^{-1}}^{k^{-1}} (|x|^{-1} a_{[|x|^{-1}]})^r dx \right]^{1/r}$   
=  $C \left( \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} k^{r-2} a_k^r \right)^{1/r}.$ 

As to  $I_{212}$ , we have, using the second estimate of (6.12) and  $|\bar{D}_n(x)| \leq |x|^{-1}$ ,

$$I_{212} \leq CN \left[ \int_{|x| \leq N^{-1}} \left( N^{-1} |x|^{-1} \sum_{|x|^{-1} > n} n^{-1} b_n \right)^r dx \right]^{1/r} \\ \leq C \left[ \int_{|x| < N^{-1}} |x|^{-r} \left( \sum_{n > |x|^{-1}} a_n n^{-1} + a_{\lfloor |x|^{-1} \rfloor} \right)^r dx \right]^{1/r} \\ \leq \left[ \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \int_{(k+1)^{-1}}^{k^{-1}} |x|^{-r} \left( \sum_{n \geq k} a_n n^{-1} + a_k \right)^r dx \right]^{1/r} \\ = C \left[ \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} k^{r-2} \left( \sum_{n=k} a_n n^{-1} \right)^r \right]^{1/r} + C \left( \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} k^{r-2} a_k \right)^{1/r}.$$

Because of (6.5), the last one is not greater than  $C(\sum_{k=N} k^{r-2} a_k^r)^{1/r}$ . Hence we have obtained

(6.20) 
$$I_{21} \leq C \left( \sum_{k=N} n^{r-2} a_n^r \right)^{1/r}.$$

For  $I_2$ , we have, using the second of (6.12) and  $|\overline{D}_n(x)| \leq |x|^{-1}$ ,

$$I_{2} \leq C \left[ \int_{|x| > N^{-1}} \left( |x|^{-1} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} b_{n} n^{-1} \right)^{r} \right]^{1/r}$$
  
$$\leq C N^{1-1/r} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} b_{n} n^{-1} \leq C N^{1-1/r} \left( \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_{n} n^{-1} + a_{N} \right)$$
  
$$\leq C N^{1-1/r} \left( \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_{n}^{r} n^{r-2} \right)^{1/r} \left( \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} n^{-2+2/r} \right)^{1-1/r}$$
  
$$+ C N^{1-1/r} a_{N}$$

(6.21)  $\leq C \left( \sum_{n=N} a_n^r n^{r-2} \right)^{1/r}.$ 

From (6.9), (6.20) and (6.21) we have

(9.22) 
$$I_2 \leq C \left( \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n^r n^{r-2} \right)^{1/r}.$$

Putting (6.18) and (6.22) together, we finally have obtained

(6.23) 
$$S_1 \leq C \left( \sum_{n > h^{-1}} n^{r-2} a_n^r \right)^{1/r}.$$

Finally since  $J_n(h)$  and  $H_n(h)$  have the same estimates (6.12) and (6.13), and  $\overline{D}_n(x)$  and  $D_n(x)$  also have the similar estimates (6.14) and  $|D_n(x)| \leq |x|^{-1}$ ,  $|D_n(x)| \leq Cn$ , we see that just the same manipulation gives us that

(6.24) 
$$S_2 \leq C \left( \sum_{n > h^{-1}} n^{r-2} a_n^r \right)^{1/r}$$

(6.23) and (6.24) now complete the proof of the theorem.

Now let  $a_n = (n^{\alpha} \log (n+1))^{-1}$  and  $1-1/r \le \alpha < 1$  we easily see that all the conditions for  $a_n$  in Theorem 4 is satisfied for r > 1. This shows (4.7).

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bary, A treatise on trigonometric series. (Engl. transl.), Pergamon, Oxford, 1964.
- [2] G. H. Hardy-J. E. Littlewood-G. Pólya, Inequalities. Cambridge, 1952.
- [3] T. Kawata, Absolute convergence of Fourier series of periodic stochastic processes and its applications. (to appear in Tohoku Math. Jour.)
- [4] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series, I, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1959.