

Title	Convergence of power series solutions of a linear Pfaffian system at an irregular singularity
Sub Title	
Author	渋谷, 泰隆 (Shibuya, Yasutaka)
Publisher	慶應義塾大学工学部
Publication year	1978
Jtitle	Keio engineering reports Vol.31, No.7 (1978. 3) ,p.79- 86
JaLC DOI	
Abstract	In this paper, we shall prove the existence and uniqueness of a convergent power series solution of a linear Pfaffian system at an irregular singularity. Our method is similar to the method given by W.A. HARRIS, JR., Y. SIBUYA and L. WEINBERG [2]. We do not utilize the theory of asymptotic solutions.
Notes	
Genre	Departmental Bulletin Paper
URL	https://koara.lib.keio.ac.jp/xoonips/modules/xoonips/detail.php?koara_id=KO50001004-00310007-0079

慶應義塾大学学術情報リポジトリ(KOARA)に掲載されているコンテンツの著作権は、それぞれの著作者、学会または出版社/発行者に帰属し、その権利は著作権法によって保護されています。引用にあたっては、著作権法を遵守してご利用ください。

The copyrights of content available on the Keio Associated Repository of Academic resources (KOARA) belong to the respective authors, academic societies, or publishers/issuers, and these rights are protected by the Japanese Copyright Act. When quoting the content, please follow the Japanese copyright act.

CONVERGENCE OF POWER SERIES SOLUTIONS OF A LINEAR PFAFFIAN SYSTEM AT AN IRREGULAR SINGULARITY

YASUTAKA SIBUYA**

School of Math., Univ. of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minn. 55455, USA

(Received Dec. 12, 1977)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we shall prove the existence and uniqueness of a convergent power series solution of a linear Pfaffian system at an irregular singularity. Our method is similar to the method given by W.A. HARRIS, JR., Y. SIBUYA and L. WEINBERG [2]. We do not utilize the theory of asymptotic solutions.

1. Introduction

We consider two n -by- n matrices $A(x, y) = \sum_{h, k=0}^{\infty} A_{hk} x^h y^k$ and $B(x, y) = \sum_{h, k=0}^{\infty} B_{hk} x^h y^k$ whose components are convergent power series in two variables (x, y) , where the A_{hk} and B_{hk} are n -by- n (complex) constant matrices. Let p and q be two positive integers, and set $D_1 = x^{p-1}(\partial/\partial x)$, $D_2 = y^{q+1}(\partial/\partial y)$, and $V = \mathbf{C}^n \ll x, y \gg$, where $\mathbf{C}^n \ll x, y \gg$ is the set of all convergent power series $\sum_{h, k=0}^{\infty} c_{hk} x^h y^k$ in (x, y) whose coefficients c_{hk} are n dimensional constant vectors.

We introduce in V a structure of a vector space over \mathbf{C} in a natural way, and define two linear operators

$$L(u) = D_1 u - A(x, y)u \quad \text{and} \quad K(u) = D_2 u - B(x, y)u, \quad u \in V.$$

* Visiting Professor of Keio University from July 1977 to August 1977.

** Partially supported by NSF MSC 76-06003 and Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Keio University, Japan.

If $A_{00} \in GL(n; \mathbf{C})$ and $B_{00} \in GL(n; \mathbf{C})$, then L and K are injective. The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM A. *Suppose that*

- (i) $A_{00} \in GL(n; \mathbf{C})$ and $B_{00} \in GL(n; \mathbf{C})$, and
- (ii) $LK = KL$.

Then, for $u \in V$, we have $u \in \text{range}(L)$ if and only if $K(u) \in \text{range}(L)$.

2. An application

As an application, we consider a linear Pfaffian system

$$(E) \quad \begin{cases} D_1 u = A(x, y)u + f(x, y), \\ D_2 u = B(x, y)u + g(x, y), \end{cases}$$

where $f \in V$ and $g \in V$.

LEMMA 2.1: *Pfaffian system (E) is completely integrable if and only if*

- (i) $LK = KL$, and (ii) $K(f) = L(g)$

PROOF: Pfaffian system (E) is completely integrable if and only if

$$(C_1) \quad D_2 A(x, y) + A(x, y)B(x, y) = D_1 B(x, y) + B(x, y)A(x, y),$$

and

$$(C_2) \quad A(x, y)g(x, y) + D_2 f(x, y) = B(x, y)f(x, y) + D_1 g(x, y).$$

Conditions (C₁) and (C₂) can be written as

$$(C_1') \quad K(A) = L(B)$$

and

$$(C_2') \quad K(f) = L(g)$$

respectively. Note that

$$LK(u) = D_1 D_2 u - B D_1 u - A D_2 u - L(B)u,$$

and

$$KL(u) = D_2 D_1 u - B D_1 u - A D_2 u - K(A)u.$$

Hence (C₁') and (i) are equivalent. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

THEOREM B: *If Pfaffian system (E) is completely integrable, and if $A_{00} \in GL(n; \mathbf{C})$ and $B_{00} \in GL(n; \mathbf{C})$, then system (E) has a solution u in V . Moreover, this solution is unique.*

PROOF: Condition (ii) of Lemma 2.1 implies that $K(f) \in \text{range}(L)$. Therefore, by virtue of Theorem A, it follows from condition (i) of Lemma 2.1 that $f \in \text{range}(L)$. This means that $L(u) = f$ for some $u \in V$. Hence,

$$L(g) = K(f) = KL(u) = LK(u).$$

Since L is injective, we have $K(u) = g$. This proves the existence of a solution u of (E) in V . The uniqueness follows from the injectivity of L and K .

REMARK: Theorem B is a special case (i.e. the linear case) of a theorem which was proved by R. GERARD and Y. SIBUYA [1; Théorème 3, p. 58]. Their proof was, however, based on the theory of asymptotic solutions and connection problems of nonlinear ordinary differential equations containing parameters at an irregular singular point. (Cf. Y. SIBUYA [3].) The proof of Theorem B in this paper is entirely different from their proof.

3. A characterization of range(L)

We shall characterize $\text{range}(L)$ in a way similar to the idea given by W. A. HARRIS, JR., Y. SIBUYA and L. WEINBERG [2].

Let us fix two positive numbers δ_0 and δ . Set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{y; |y| < \delta_0\},$$

and denote by Ω the set of all mappings from \mathcal{D} to \mathbf{C}^n which are holomorphic and bounded in \mathcal{D} . For $c \in \Omega$, the notation $|c|$ denotes $\sup_{\mathcal{D}} |c(y)|$. For a power series

$$\varphi = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m x^m \quad (c_m \in \Omega),$$

we set

$$\|\varphi\|_{\delta} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |c_m| \delta^m,$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{\delta} = \{\varphi; \|\varphi\|_{\delta} < +\infty\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{\delta, M} = \{x^M \varphi; \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta}\},$$

where M is a positive integer.

Let $A(x, y)$ be the matrix which was given in §1. Set

$$A(x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m(y) x^m \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_{\delta} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |A_m| \delta^m,$$

where $|A_m| = \sup_{\mathcal{D}} |A_m(y)|$. Assume that $\|A\|_{\delta} < +\infty$. Choose M so that

$$\frac{\|A\|_{\delta}}{\delta^p M} < 1,$$

where p is the integer which was given in §1. We define a mapping $A: \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M}$ by $A(\varphi)(x, y) = A(x, y)\varphi(x, y)$. Then, $\|A(\varphi)\|_{\delta} \leq \|A\|_{\delta} \|\varphi\|_{\delta}$.

Let us define a mapping $P: \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M+p}$ by

$$P(\varphi) = \sum_{m=M+p}^{\infty} c_m x^m,$$

where

$$\varphi = \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} c_m x^m.$$

Then

$$\|P(\varphi)\|_{\delta} \leq \|\varphi\|_{\delta}.$$

We also define a mapping $T: \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M+p} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M}$ by

$$T(\varphi) = \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \frac{c_m}{m} x^m,$$

where

$$\varphi = \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} c_m x^{m+p}.$$

Then

$$\|T(\varphi)\|_{\delta} \leq \frac{1}{\delta^p M} \|\varphi\|_{\delta}.$$

It is easy to prove that

$$D_1 T(\varphi) = \varphi \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M+p},$$

and that

$$T(D_1 \varphi) = \varphi \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M} \text{ if } D_1 \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M+p}.$$

Since

$$\|TPA(\varphi)\|_{\delta} \leq \frac{\|A\|_{\delta}}{\delta^p M} \|\varphi\|_{\delta} \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M},$$

the mapping $I - TPA: \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\delta}$ is an isomorphism, where I is the identity map. Set

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi = (I - TPA)^{-1} TP.$$

Then the mapping $\Phi: \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M}$ satisfies the identity

$$(3.2) \quad L\Phi(\varphi) = \varphi - Q(\varphi) \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M}),$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad Q = (I - P)(I + A\Phi).$$

In fact, note that

$$(I - TPA)\Phi = TP,$$

$$D_1(I - TPA)\Phi(\varphi) = D_1\Phi(\varphi) - PA\Phi(\varphi), \quad \text{and}$$

$$D_1 TP(\varphi) = P(\varphi).$$

Hence

$$D_1\Phi(\varphi) = PA\Phi(\varphi) + P(\varphi)$$

and

$$L\Phi(\varphi) = PA\Phi(\varphi) + P(\varphi) - A\Phi(\varphi) = \varphi - (I - P)(I + A\Phi)(\varphi).$$

This proves (3.2).

Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M}$ and $D_1\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M+p}$. Then

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi L(\varphi) = \varphi.$$

In fact,

$$P(D_1\varphi) = D_1\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad TP(D_1\varphi) = \varphi.$$

Hence

$$\Phi(D_1\varphi) = (I - TPA)^{-1}(\varphi).$$

Since

$$\Phi A(\varphi) = (I - TPA)^{-1}TPA(\varphi),$$

we have

$$\Phi L(\varphi) = (I - TPA)^{-1}(I - TPA)(\varphi) = \varphi.$$

LEMMA 3.1: *Suppose that $A_{00} \in GL(n; \mathbf{C})$. Then, if*

$$\psi = \sum_{m=M}^{M+p-1} c_m x^m \in L(\mathcal{B}_{\delta}),$$

it follows that $\psi = 0$.

Proof: Let $\psi = L(u)$ for some $u \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta}$. Then $u \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M}$ and hence $D_1u \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M+p}$. Therefore, $\Phi(\psi) = u$. On the other hand, $P(\psi) = 0$ and hence $\Phi(\psi) = 0$. This proves that

$$\psi = L(0) = 0.$$

LEMMA 3.2: *Suppose that $A_{00} \in GL(n; \mathbf{C})$. Then, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M}$, we have $\varphi \in L(\mathcal{B}_{\delta})$ if and only if $Q(\varphi) = 0$.*

Proof: If $Q(\varphi) = 0$, then (3.2) implies that $\varphi = L\Phi(\varphi) \in L(\mathcal{B}_{\delta})$. If $\varphi = L(u)$ for some $u \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta}$, then

$$Q(\varphi) = \varphi - L\Phi(\varphi) = L(u - \Phi(\varphi)) \in L(\mathcal{B}_{\delta}).$$

Hence, by virtue of Lemma 3.1, we have $Q(\varphi) = 0$.

LEMMA 3.3: *Suppose that $A_{00} \in GL(n; \mathbf{C})$. Then, there exists a mapping $S: \mathcal{B}_{\delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\delta}$ such that*

- (i) $D_1S(\mathcal{B}_{\delta}) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\delta};$
- (ii) $(I - LS)(\mathcal{B}_{\delta}) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M}.$

REMARK: An easy (formal) computation would determine $S(\varphi)$ as a polynomial

in x with coefficients in Ω .

LEMMA 3.4: *Suppose that $A_{00} \in GL(n; \mathbf{C})$. Then, for $\zeta \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta}$, we have $\zeta \in L(\mathcal{B}_{\delta})$ if and only if*

$$(3.5) \quad Q(I - LS)(\zeta) = 0.$$

Proof: Suppose that (3.5) is satisfied. Then $(I - LS)(\zeta) \in L(\mathcal{B}_{\delta})$, and hence $\zeta \in L(\mathcal{B}_{\delta})$. If $\zeta \in L(\mathcal{B}_{\delta})$, then $(I - LS)(\zeta) \in L(\mathcal{B}_{\delta})$. Hence, (3.5) must be satisfied.

4. An important lemma.

Throughout this section, we assume that

- (i) $A_{00} \in GL(n; \mathbf{C})$ and $B_{00} \in GL(n; \mathbf{C})$, and
- (ii) $\|A\|_{\delta} < +\infty$ and $\|B\|_{\delta} < +\infty$.

We also utilize notations and results of §3.

LEMMA 4.1: *If $\psi = \sum_{m=M}^{M+p-1} c_m x^m$, and if $D_2\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta}$ and*

$$(4.1) \quad K(\psi) \in L(\mathcal{B}_{\delta}),$$

then $\psi = 0$.

Proof: Since $K(\psi) \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta, M}$ and $A_{00} \in GL(n; \mathbf{C})$, we have

$$QK(\psi) = 0.$$

This means that

$$(4.2) \quad Q(D_2\psi) - QB(\psi) = 0.$$

We shall prove first that

$$(4.3) \quad Q(D_2\psi) = D_2\psi.$$

Note that $D_2\psi = \sum_{m=M}^{M+p-1} (D_2c_m)x^m$. Hence $P(D_2\psi) = 0$. Therefore $\phi(D_2\psi) = 0$, and hence (4.3) follows from (3.3).

By utilizing (4.3), we write (4.2) as

$$(4.4) \quad D_2\psi = QB(\psi).$$

This means that

$$(4.5) \quad D_2c_m = \sum_{k=M}^{M+p-1} \gamma_{mk} c_k, \quad m = M, \dots, M+p-1,$$

where the γ_{mk} are n -by- n matrices whose components are in Ω . Set

$$I'(y) = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{MM} & \cdots & \gamma_{MM, p-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \gamma_{M, p-1M} & \cdots & \gamma_{M, p-1M, p-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We shall prove that $I'(0) \in GL(np; \mathbf{C})$.

The quantities $A, B, L, K, \Phi, Q, \varphi$ etc depend on y . We denote by $A_0, B_0, L_0, K_0, \Phi_0, Q_0, \varphi_0$ etc those quantities at $y=0$. Then,

$$K_0(\varphi_0) = -B_0(\varphi_0),$$

$$L_0(B_0(\varphi_0)) = B_0(L_0(\varphi_0)), \text{ or } B_0^{-1}L_0B_0(\varphi_0) = L_0(\varphi_0),$$

and

$$L_0\Phi_0(\varphi_0) = \varphi_0 - Q_0(\varphi_0).$$

Hence, if $Q_0B_0(\varphi_0) = 0$, we have

$$B_0(\varphi_0) = L_0\Phi_0(B_0(\varphi_0)), \text{ or}$$

$$\varphi_0 = B_0^{-1}L_0B_0B_0^{-1}\Phi_0B_0(\varphi_0) = L_0B_0^{-1}\Phi_0B_0(\varphi_0).$$

This means that

$$\varphi_0 = L_0(u_0),$$

where

$$u_0 = B_0^{-1}\Phi_0B_0(\varphi_0)$$

Since $\Phi_0L_0(u_0) = u_0$, we have $\Phi_0(\varphi_0) = u_0 = 0$, and hence $\varphi_0 = 0$. This proves that $I'(0) \in GL(np; \mathbf{C})$.

Finally, it follows from (4.5) that $\varphi = 0$.

5. Proof of Theorem A.

Set $K(u) = L(v)$. Note that

$$K(I - LS)(u) = L(v - KS(u)),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} KQ(I - LS)(u) &= K(I - LS)(u) - LK\Phi(I - LS)(u) \\ &= L(v - KS(u) - K\Phi(I - LS)(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$Q(I - LS)(u) = 0 \quad (\text{cf. Lemma 4.1}),$$

and

$$u \in L(\mathcal{B}_\delta) \quad (\text{cf. Lemma 3.4}).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem A. In this argument, we must choose δ_0 and δ sufficiently small.

REFERENCES

1. GÉRARD, R. and SIBUYA, Y. (1977): Étude de certains systèmes de Pfaff au voisinage d'une singularité, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, **284**, 57-60.
2. HARRIS, W.A., JR., SIBUYA, Y. and WEINBERG, L. (1969): Holomorphic solutions of linear differential systems at singular points, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **35**, 245-248.
3. SIBUYA, Y. (1968): Perturbation of linear ordinary differential equations at irregular singular points, Funkcial. Ekvac. **11**, 235-246.