

Title	Consistency of a pair of matrix equations with an application
Sub Title	
Author	篠崎, 信雄(Shinozaki, Nobuo) Shibuya, Masaaki
Publisher	慶應義塾大学工学部
Publication year	1974
Jtitle	Keio engineering reports Vol.27, No.10 (1974. ) ,p.141- 146
JaLC DOI	
Abstract	Some necessary and sufficient conditions for a pair of consistent linear matrix equations to have a common solution are given. A simpler algebraic proof of Mitra's theorem on the rank of sum of non-negative definite matrices is also given.
Notes	
Genre	Departmental Bulletin Paper
URL	<a href="https://koara.lib.keio.ac.jp/xoonips/modules/xoonips/detail.php?koara_id=KO50001004-00270010-0141">https://koara.lib.keio.ac.jp/xoonips/modules/xoonips/detail.php?koara_id=KO50001004-00270010-0141</a>

慶應義塾大学学術情報リポジトリ(KOARA)に掲載されているコンテンツの著作権は、それぞれの著作者、学会または出版社/発行者に帰属し、その権利は著作権法によって保護されています。引用にあたっては、著作権法を遵守してご利用ください。

The copyrights of content available on the Keio Associated Repository of Academic resources (KOARA) belong to the respective authors, academic societies, or publishers/issuers, and these rights are protected by the Japanese Copyright Act. When quoting the content, please follow the Japanese copyright act.

KEIO ENGINEERING REPORTS  
VOL. 27 NO. 10

CONSISTENCY OF A PAIR OF MATRIX  
EQUATIONS WITH AN APPLICATION

By

*NOBUO SHINOZAKI AND MASAOKI SIBUYA*

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
YOKOHAMA 1974

## CONSISTENCY OF A PAIR OF MATRIX EQUATIONS WITH AN APPLICATION

NOBUO SHINOZAKI and MASAOKI SIBUYA\*

Dept. of Mathematics, Keio University, Yokohama 223, Japan

(Received, Nov. 22, 1974)

### ABSTRACT

Some necessary and sufficient conditions for a pair of consistent linear matrix equations to have a common solution are given. A simpler algebraic proof of Mitra's theorem on the rank of sum of non-negative definite matrices is also given.

### 1. Introduction

The first purpose of this note is to show some necessary and sufficient conditions for a pair of matrix equations

$$(1.1) \quad A_1XB_1=C_1$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad A_2XB_2=C_2,$$

each of them is consistent, to have a common solution (Theorem 1). One of the conditions was obtained by Mitra (1973a). Our new ones and the proof are more rudimental. The problem considered here is different from the one treated by Morris and Odell (1968) who have not assumed given matrix equations to be consistent.

Studying the algebraic network theory Mitra (1973b) proved a theorem on the rank of sum of n.n.d. (non-negative definite) matrices based on statistical considerations. The second purpose of this note is to give a simpler algebraic

---

\* IBM JAPAN

proof of Mitra's theorem (Theorem 2). The proof is partly based on Theorem 1 and motivated this note.

Capital letters denote matrices with complex elements throughout the paper. For a complex matrix  $A$ ,  $A^*$  denotes its transposed conjugate and  $A^-$  a generalized inverse of  $A$ , that is a matrix such that  $AA^-A=A$ .  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  are the range space and the null space of  $A$  respectively.  $O$  denotes the zero matrix of suitable size.

## 2. Main Results

**Lemma.** Let  $A_1, A_2, A; B_1, B_2$ , and  $B$  be matrices such that

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{R}(A^*) = \mathcal{R}(A_1^*) \cap \mathcal{R}(A_2^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}(B) = \mathcal{R}(B_1) \cap \mathcal{R}(B_2).$$

A matrix  $Z$  satisfies

$$(3) \quad AZB = O$$

if and only if there exist  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  such that  $Z = Y_1 + Y_2$  and

$$(4) \quad A_i Y_i B_i = O, \quad i=1, 2.$$

**Proof.** Necessity. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  be subspaces such that

$$\mathcal{R}(A_2^*) = \mathcal{R}(A^*) \oplus \mathcal{S}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}(B_2) = \mathcal{R}(B) \oplus \mathcal{T}.$$

There exist projectors (idempotent matrices)  $P$  and  $Q$  such that  $\mathcal{R}(P^*) = \mathcal{R}(A_1^*)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(P^*) \supset \mathcal{S}$ ;  $\mathcal{R}(Q) = \mathcal{R}(B_1)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(Q) \supset \mathcal{T}$ , since  $\mathcal{R}(A_1^*) \cap \mathcal{S} = \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(B_1) \cap \mathcal{T} = \{0\}$ . Put  $Y_1 = Z - PZQ$  and  $Y_2 = PZQ$ . Clearly these satisfy (4), since  $A_1 PZQB_1 = A_1 ZB_1$  and  $A_2 PZQB_2 = O$ .

Sufficiency. Because of (2)  $A$  and  $B$  can be written as  $A = W_1 A_1 = W_2 A_2$  and  $B = B_1 V_1 = B_2 V_2$ . (4) implies  $W_i A_i Y_i B_i V_i = A Y_i B = O$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , and thus (3).

**Theorem 1.** The following conditions are equivalent. We assume  $A$  and  $B$  to satisfy (2).

- (i) Matrix equations (1.1) and (1.2) have a common solution.
- (ii) There exist  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  which satisfy (4) and

$$Y_1 + Y_2 = X_1 - X_2$$

for some (any) solution  $X_i$  of (1.1),  $i=1, 2$ .

- (iii)  $A(X_1 - X_2)B = O$

for some (any) solution  $X_i$  of (1.1),  $i=1, 2$ .

$$(iv) \quad AA_1^-C_1B_1^-B = AA_2^-C_2B_2^-B,$$

where  $g$ -inverses are some fixed ones or any ones.

(v) (Mitra 1973 a)

$$\begin{aligned} & A_1^*A_1(A_1^*A_1 + A_2^*A_2)^-A_2^*C_2B_2^*(B_1B_1^* + B_2B_2^*)^-B_1B_1^* \\ & = A_2^*A_2(A_1^*A_1 + A_2^*A_2)^-A_1^*C_1B_1^*(B_1B_1^* + B_2B_2^*)^-B_2B_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** (i)  $\rightarrow$  (iv). If  $X$  is a common solution of (1.1) and (1.2), then

$$\begin{aligned} AA_1^-C_1B_1^-B & = AA_1^-A_1XB_1B_1^-B = AXB \\ & = AA_2^-A_2XB_2B_2^-B = AA_2^-C_2B_2^-B. \end{aligned}$$

(iv)  $\rightarrow$  (iii). Put  $X_i = A_i^-C_iB_i^-$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , and let  $Z_i$  be a solution of (1.  $i$ ),  $i=1, 2$ .  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  satisfy (iii) and

$$\begin{aligned} A_iX_iB_i & = A_iA_i^-C_iB_i^-B_i = A_iA_i^-A_iZ_iB_iB_i^-B_i \\ & = A_iZ_iB_i = C_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $X_i$  is a solution of (1.  $i$ ),  $i=1, 2$ .

(iii)  $\leftrightarrow$  (ii). Just apply Lemma.

(ii)  $\leftrightarrow$  (i). Let  $X$  be a common solution of (1.1) and (1.2). For any solution  $X_i$  of (1.  $i$ ),  $i=1, 2$ , put  $Y_1 = X_1 - X$  and  $Y_2 = X - X_2$ .

(iv)  $\leftrightarrow$  (v). The equation of (v) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & A_1^*A_1(A_1^*A_1 + A_2^*A_2)^-A_2^*A_2A_2^-C_2B_2^-B_2B_2^*(B_1B_1^* + B_2B_2^*)^-B_1B_1^* \\ & = A_2^*A_2(A_1^*A_1 + A_2^*A_2)^-A_1^*A_1A_1^-C_1B_1^-B_1B_1^*(B_1B_1^* + B_2B_2^*)^-B_2B_2^*, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_1^*A_1(A_1^*A_1 + A_2^*A_2)^-A_2^*A_2 = A_2^*A_2(A_1^*A_1 + A_2^*A_2)^-A_1^*A_1$  is a matrix with the property of the matrix  $A$  of (iv) and  $B_2B_2^*(B_1B_1^* + B_2B_2^*)^-B_1B_1^* = B_1B_1^*(B_1B_1^* + B_2B_2^*)^-B_2B_2^*$  of  $B$  of (iv). (See Anderson and Duffin (1969) or Rao and Mitra (1971)).

**Remark 1.** The theorem is easily generalized as follows. Let

$$(5.1) \quad A_{1i}XB_{1i} = C_{1i}, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, m,$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad A_{2j}XB_{2j} = C_{2j}, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

be sets of matrix equations, and each set be consistent. Corresponding to these consider sets of homogeneous equations

$$(6.1) \quad A_{1i}YB_{1i} = O, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, m,$$

and

$$(6.2) \quad A_{2j}YB_{2j} = O, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be matrices such that

$$(7.1) \quad \mathcal{R}(A^*) = \{\mathcal{R}(A_{11}^*) + \mathcal{R}(A_{12}^*) + \cdots + \mathcal{R}(A_{1m}^*)\} \cap \\ \{\mathcal{R}(A_{21}^*) + \mathcal{R}(A_{22}^*) + \cdots + \mathcal{R}(A_{2n}^*)\}$$

and

$$(7.2) \quad \mathcal{R}(B) = \{\mathcal{R}(B_{11}) + \mathcal{R}(B_{12}) + \cdots + \mathcal{R}(B_{1m})\} \cap \\ \{\mathcal{R}(B_{21}) + \mathcal{R}(B_{22}) + \cdots + \mathcal{R}(B_{2n})\}.$$

Now the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) (5.1) and (5.2) have a common solution.
- (ii) There exist solutions  $Y_i$  of (6.i),  $i=1, 2$ , such that  $Y_1 + Y_2 = X_1 - X_2$  for some (any) solutions  $X_i$  of (5.i),  $i=1, 2$ .
- (iii)  $A(X_1 - X_2)B = O$  for some solutions  $X_i$  of (5.i),  $i=1, 2$ . (This statement is not always valid for arbitrary solutions.)

**Remark 2.** As a special case of the theorem consider a pair of matrix equations  $A_i X = C_i$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , each consistent. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} X = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix}$  is consistent.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{R}(X_1 - X_2) \subset \mathcal{N}(A_1) + \mathcal{N}(A_2)$  for some (any)  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  such that  $A_1 X_1 = C_1$  and  $A_2 X_2 = C_2$ .
- (ii\*)  $\mathcal{R}(A_1^- C_1 - A_2^- C_2) \subset \mathcal{N}(A_1) + \mathcal{N}(A_2)$  for some (any) generalized inverses  $A_1^-$  and  $A_2^-$ .
- (iii)  $A_1^* A_1 (A_1^* A_1 + A_2^* A_2)^- A_2^* C_2 = A_2^* A_2 (A_1^* A_1 + A_2^* A_2)^- A_1^* C_1$ .

**Remark 3.** We generalize the theorem to another direction. Let matrix equations  $A_i X = C_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, k$ , each be consistent. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_k \end{bmatrix} X = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ \vdots \\ C_k \end{bmatrix}$  is consistent.
- (ii)  $A_i^* A_i (\sum_{j=1}^k A_j^* A_j)^- (\sum_{j \neq i} A_j^* C_j) = (\sum_{j \neq i} A_j^* A_j) (\sum_{j=1}^k A_j^* A_j)^- A_i^* C_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, k$ .
- (iii)  $A_i^* A_i (\sum_{j=1}^i A_j^* A_j)^- (\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A_j^* C_j) = (\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A_j^* A_j) (\sum_{j=1}^i A_j^* A_j)^- A_i^* C_i$ ,  $i=2, 3, \dots, k$ .
- (iv)  $\mathcal{R}(X^{(i)} - X_{i+1}) \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^i \mathcal{N}(A_j) + \mathcal{N}(A_{i+1})$ , for some  $X^{(i)}$  and  $X_{i+1}$  such that  $(\sum_{j=1}^i A_j^* A_j) X^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^i A_j^* C_j$  and  $A_{i+1} X_{i+1} = C_{i+1}$ , for  $i=1, 2, \dots, k-1$ .

### 3. Rank of Sum of N.N.D. Matrices

In this section we give a simpler algebraic proof of Mitra's theorem on the rank of sum of two n.n.d. matrices.

**Theorem 2.** (Mitra 1973 b) Let  $A$  and  $B$  be n.n.d. matrices of the same order and conformably partitioned as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A_{11}$  and  $B_{11}$  are square matrices of the same order. If

$$(8) \quad \text{rank}(A+B) = \text{rank}(A_{11}+B_{11}),$$

then

$$(9) \quad \text{rank } A = \text{rank } A_{11} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rank } B = \text{rank } B_{11}.$$

Conversely (9) implies (8) if and only if  $A_{11}(A_{11}+B_{11})^{-1}B_{12} = B_{11}(A_{11}+B_{11})^{-1}A_{12}$ , or equivalently  $\mathcal{N}(X_1 - X_2) \supset \mathcal{R}(A_{11}) \cap \mathcal{R}(B_{11})$  for some  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  such that  $A_{21} = X_1 A_{11}$  and  $B_{21} = X_2 B_{11}$ .

**Proof.** The n.n.d. matrices  $A$  and  $B$  can be expressed as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 A_1^* & A_1 A_2^* \\ A_2 A_1^* & A_2 A_2^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1^* & A_2^* \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 B_1^* & B_1 B_2^* \\ B_2 B_1^* & B_2 B_2^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1^* & B_2^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

Put

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ A_2 & B_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$A+B = CC^* \quad \text{and} \quad A_{11} + B_{11} = C_1 C_1^*.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{rank}(A+B) = \text{rank}(A_{11} + B_{11}) \\ \iff & \text{rank}(C_1^* C_1 + C_2^* C_2) = \text{rank}(C_1^* C_1) \\ \iff & \mathcal{R}(C^*) \supset \mathcal{R}(C_2^*) \\ \iff & \mathcal{R} \begin{bmatrix} A_1^* \\ B_1^* \end{bmatrix} \supset \mathcal{R} \begin{bmatrix} A_2^* \\ B_2^* \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A_1^*) \supset \mathcal{R}(A_2^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}(B_1^*) \supset \mathcal{R}(B_2^*).$$

Therefore

$$\text{rank } A = \text{rank } [A_1^* \quad A_2^*] = \text{rank } A_1^* = \text{rank } A_{11},$$

and

$$\text{rank } B = \text{rank } [B_1^* \quad B_2^*] = \text{rank } B_1^* = \text{rank } B_{11}.$$

The second half of the theorem is shown easily from the above discussion and Remark 2 to Theorem 1.

**Remark 1.** We may have another indirect proof of the first half of the theorem by using Theorems 2 and 3 of Anderson (1971), or equivalently by using the corollary to Theorem 1 and Theorem 6 of Carlson and others (1974).

**Remark 2.** The theorem can be written as follows: Let  $A$  and  $B$  be n.n.d. matrices of the same order, and  $P$  any orthogonal projector. Then

$$\text{rank } (A+B) = \text{rank } P(A+B)P$$

$$\rightarrow \text{rank } A = \text{rank } PAP \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rank } B = \text{rank } PBP.$$

The reverse statement is true if and only if  $PAP\{P(A+B)P\}^{-1}PB = PBP\{P(A+B)P\}^{-1}PA$ .  $PAP$  restricted in  $\mathcal{R}(P)$  is the compression of  $A$  into  $\mathcal{R}(P)$  (Halmos 1967).

### References

- ANDERSON, W. N. Jr. (1971): Shorted operators, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **20**, 520-525.
- ANDERSON, W. N. Jr. and DUFFIN, R. J. (1969): Series and parallel addition of matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **26**, 576-594.
- CARLSON, D., HAYNSWORTH, E. and MARKHAM, T. (1974): A generalization of the Schur complement by means of the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **26**, 169-175.
- HALMOS, P. R. (1967): *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, American Book, (§18 Unitary dilations).
- MITRA, S. K. (1973 a): Common solutions to a pair of linear matrix equations  $A_1XB_1=C_1$  and  $A_2XB_2=C_2$ , *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **74**, 213-216.
- MITRA, S. K. (1973 b): Statistical proofs of some propositions on non-negative definite matrices, 39th Session of International Statistical Institute, Vienna.
- MORRIS, G. L. and ODELL, P. L. (1968): Common solutions for  $n$  matrix equations with applications, *J. Assoc. Comp. Mach.*, **15**, 272-274.
- RAO, C. R. and MITRA, S. K. (1971): *Generalized Inverse of Matrices and Its Applications*, John Wiley, New York, (Section 10. 1. 6).