## 慶應義塾大学学術情報リポジトリ

Keio Associated Repository of Academic resouces

Title	奥付
Sub Title	
Author	
Publisher	慶應義塾経済学会
Publication year	1967
Jtitle	三田学会雑誌 (Keio journal of economics). Vol.60, No.4 (1967. 4)
JaLC DOI	
Abstract	
Notes	
Genre	
URL	https://koara.lib.keio.ac.jp/xoonips/modules/xoonips/detail.php?koara_id=AN00234610-19670401-0114

慶應義塾大学学術情報リポジトリ(KOARA)に掲載されているコンテンツの著作権は、それぞれの著作者、学会または出版社/発行者に帰属し、その権利は著作権法によって 保護されています。引用にあたっては、著作権法を遵守してご利用ください。

The copyrights of content available on the KeiO Associated Repository of Academic resources (KOARA) belong to the respective authors, academic societies, or publishers/issuers, and these rights are protected by the Japanese Copyright Act. When quoting the content, please follow the Japanese copyright act.

その一つは、日本の産業特に工業化のために行なった殖産工業政策が、 明治以来の日本の政治の無為につ

本の工業の自立化を促進するのではなくむしろその芽をつみとってし

日本の国民又は国家の運営をそのような軍事又は官

本の政治家がごく普通の市民的自覚を

御希望の方は左記へ購読料を添え御申込み下さい。

東京都高輪局区内三田網町一番地

購読料

二四〇〇円

和四

発編

行集 人兼

田 雜 二〇〇円(岩川 第第 The Object and Method of Socialist Economics (II)

by Ayako Hirano

- od o (Vol. 60, o No. 3) o in the ode different of aparenty de
- Introduction
- Socialist Economics and the Classical Thesis
- Formation of Socialist Economics and Re-Confirmation of its Object ( II ) (Vol. 60, No. 4) THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.
- "Evolution" of Socialist Economics in the Soviet Union
- "Theory of Socialist Economy" in China and its Characteristics of Political-Economics at Socialism
  - ( 11 ) (Vol. 60, No. 5)
- 6. Relation of Production and Productive-force as the Prerequisite of Socialist Economy in China version and an appearance of the control of the contro
- 7. Theory on Construction of Socialist Economy and Socialist Economics

The confusion concerning the relation of the law of value and the market mechanism could be discerned even in the period after 1953, especially after the 20th Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, when criticism was levelled at the excessive centralization in a planned economy. The postulate of abandoning hyper-centralization and employing the market mechanism was formulated as one of giving more scope to the law of value. I think that in the light of our considerations it should be clear that these postulates are not identical. In planning proportions in a socialist economy we should take into account, within defined limits, the influence of the objective law of value; we should observe the principle of equivalence, if we want to avoid losses and results different from optimum; this is one problem. The second problem is the scope of the utilization of market mechanism in a socialist economy. The scope of the working of law of value and the scope of the possibilities of using the market mechanism do not coinside.