Progression of Human Renal Cell Carcinoma via Inhibition of RhoA-ROCK Axis by PARG1
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Abstract
Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is the most lethal urological malignancy with high risk of recurrence; thus, new prognostic biomarkers are needed. In this study, a new RCC antigen, PTPL1 associated RhoGAP1 (PARG1), was identified by using serological identification of recombinant cDNA expression cloning with sera from RCC patients. PARG1 protein was found to be differentially expressed in RCC cells among patients. High PARG1 expression is significantly correlated with various clinicopathological factors relating to cancer cell proliferation and invasion, including G3 percentage (P = .0046), Ki-67 score (P expression is also correlated with high recurrence of N0M0 patients (P = .0084) and poor prognosis in RCC patients (P = .0345). Multivariate analysis has revealed that high PARG1 expression is an independent factor for recurrence (P = .0149) of N0M0 RCC patients. In in vitro studies, depletion of PARG1 by siRNA in human RCC cell lines inhibited their proliferation through inducing G1 cell cycle arrest via upregulation of p53 and subsequent p21Cip1/Waf1, which are mediated by increased RhoA-ROCK activities. Similarly, PARG1 depletion cells inhibited invasion ability via increasing RhoA-ROCK activities in the RCC cell lines. Conversely, overexpression of PARG1 on human embryonic kidney cell line HEK293T promotes its cell proliferation and invasion. These results indicate that PARG1 plays crucial roles in progression of human RCC in increasing cell proliferation and invasion ability via inhibition of the RhoA-ROCK axis, and PARG1 is a poor prognostic marker, particularly for high recurrence of N0M0 RCC patients.
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Introduction
Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) accounts for more than 2% of all adult malignancies [1] and has continuously increased in the world [2]. Almost a third of RCC patients have distant metastases at initial diagnosis [3], and a third of the patients undergoing potentially curative nephrectomy will eventually develop metastases. The prognosis for patients with metastatic RCC is generally poor. The 2-year survival rate for patients with advanced disease is less than 20%. Thus, good biomarkers for predicting prognosis and therapeutic targets for metastatic RCC are needed.

Recently, cell proliferation and invasion ability have become important for cancer progression [4]. In cell proliferation, p53 is one of the key tumor suppressor proteins; inactive p53 function promotes cell growth in cancer cells. Otherwise, RhoA is a key molecule for cell invasion in various...
cancer cells. However, in RCC, RhoA acts as promoter [5] or suppressor [6] for cell invasion; these different biological characteristics remain controversial. Therefore, it is important for understanding RCC progression to evaluate the function of RhoA associating with cell proliferation and invasion.

In this study, we identified PARG1, a PTP1B-associated RhoGAP1, as a new RCC antigen using serological identification of recombinant cDNA expression cloning (SEREX) for development of a diagnostic method for RCC patients. We clarified the functional role of PARG1 in RCC including relationship between PARG1 expression level and effect of RhoA signaling. PARG1 expression was found to vary among patients, and high PARG1 expression was correlated with clinicopathological features related to cell proliferation and invasion of RCC cells. Moreover, high PARG1 expression was also correlated with higher recurrence and poor survival of RCC patients. As a molecular mechanism, PARG1 was found to increase RCC cell proliferation and invasion through inhibition of RhoA-ROCK pathway. Therefore, PARG1 is involved in malignant progression to evaluate the function of RhoA associating with cell proliferation and invasion.

**Material and Methods**

**RCC Cell Lines and Patient Samples**

RCC cell lines used in the study were ACHN (American Type Culture Collection, A949, SW839, 769-p, 786-o, RCCS1 (Department of Urology, Keio University Tokyo, Japan), RCC6, RCC8, and RCC10 (Surgery Branch, National Cancer Institute). These RCC cell lines were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Human embryonic kidney cell HEK293T (American Type Culture Collection) was cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 10% FBS. Tumor tissues were obtained from patients who had undergone surgical resection at Keio University Hospital (Tokyo, Japan) and National Defense Medical College Hospital (Saitama, Japan) with informed consent according to the institutional guidelines and were stored at −80°C until use. Sera obtained from cancer patients and healthy volunteers were stores at −80°C.

**SEREX cDNA Cloning**

SEREX cDNA cloning was described in a previous report [7]. cDNA library was constructed using 4 RCC cell lines (RCCS1, RCC6, RCC8, and RCC10) and synthesized by using λZAP-cDNA synthesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). Screening was examined by using sera from one RCC patient.

**Evaluation of Anti-PARG1 IgG Ab in Sera**

Anti-PARG1 IgG Ab from RCC patient sera was detected by ELISA or Western blot using recombinant His-tagged PARG1 protein (amino acid sequence: 558 aa to 891 aa). We performed these experiments according to previous report [7], and the detailed detection method was described.

**RT-PCR and quantitative PCR analyses**

Total RNA was extracted from RCC cell lines using the RNase mini kit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNAs were synthesized with an oligo(dT) 12-18 primer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) from total RNAs. PARG1 expression was determined by PCR with PARG1-specific primers 3′-GGGGGATCAGGTCAACTCTCT-TAC-5′ and 3′-CCAAGTAGGGCTGACAAAA-5′ and GAPDH as control. qPCR analysis of PARG1 expression in RCC cell lines was performed using 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The quantitative expression of PARG1 in RCC cells transfected with stealth RNA (siRNA) was evaluated with qPCR using TaqMan Gene Expression Assays with the PARG1-specific probe (Hs00191351) according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Applied Biosystems). Human GAPDH (Applied Biosystems) was used as an internal control.

**Immunohistochemical Analysis**

Immunohistochemical analysis of PARG1 was performed on 74 RCC specimens. These sections contained both tumor and surrounding kidney tissue. Briefly, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor sections (4 μm) were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated through graded ethanol. Slides were placed in Dako Target Retrieval Solution High pH (Dako Corp., Carpinteria, CA) and heated at 95°C for 50 minutes for antigen retrieval. Endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched with Dako Peroxidase Blocking Reagent (Dako Corp.) for 10 minutes. Sections were incubated in 10% normal goat serum in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 60 minutes at room temperature and subsequently incubated overnight at 4°C with IgY chicken polyclonal anti-PARG1 antibody (GenWay) at 1:200 dilution in PBS. Secondary antibody was anti-chicken IgY (IgG) peroxidase conjugate (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO). Staining was done by a Simple Stain Max PO kit (Nichirei Corp., Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reaction products were immersed in diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride for 3 minutes and counterstained with hematoxylin to visualize. Tubular epithelial cells in surrounding normal kidney tissues were served as a positive internal control. Samples incubated without primary antibody were also stained by the same steps and were used for baseline staining. PARG1 expression levels of each RCC tissue were classified into three levels: level 1: negative or almost negative PARG1 staining, level 2: PARG1 staining in RCC was clearly less than that of normal proximal tubules in the same tissue sections, and level 3: PARG1 staining in RCC was the same as normal proximal tubules in the same tissue sections. Two independent investigators blinded to the patients’ clinical information evaluated all specimens. In the same 74 sections, immunostaining of the Ki-67 was also performed basically in the same method as that of PARG1 with the primary antibody for Ki-67 (anti-Ki-67 antibody, mouse monoclonal antibody; ZYMED Laboratories, South San Francisco, CA). RCC cells were cultured in eight-well chamber glass slides, fixed, and blocked. The primary antibodies used were as follows: F-actin/Texas Red(r)-X phalloidin from Molecular Probes (Invitrogen) (1:50 dilution), DNA/DAPI (Invitrogen) (1:100 dilution), and PARG1/ARHGAP29 MaxPab polyclonal antibody (Abnova) (1:50 dilution). The secondary antibody for PARG1 was polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse IgG-FITC (Dako) (1:100 dilution). Confocal microscopy was performed using an LSM 700 (Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Co., Ltd.), and images were analyzed with the instrument’s software.

**Knockdown and Overexpression Studies**

Knockdown of PARG1 was performed by Stealth RNAi (MediumGC Duplex, Invitrogen Carlsbad, CA). Stealth RNAi Negative Control duplexes were used as a scramble siRNA control (Invitrogen). BLOCK-iT Fluorescent Oligo (Invitrogen) was used to evaluate transfection efficiency. The target sequences of the siRNAs for PARG1 were as follows: si#2: 5′-ACGGCTTCTGACACTTCTAATAAA-3′ and
si#3-5'-GCACGCTTGGTAGAGTTTCTCATTA-3'. Cells were transfected with siRNAs using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). pcDNA3.1-PARG1 plasmid was constructed by using pcDNA3.1(-)(Invitrogen) and PARG1/pC4-TOPO (Open Biosystems, Huntsville, AL). pcDNA3.1-PARG1 plasmid (1 μg/ml per well) was transfected to HEK293T using Lipofectamine 2000. pcDNA3.1 was used as a control. The WST-1 Cell Proliferation System (Takara, Kyoto, Japan) was used to evaluate cell proliferation. Premix WST-1 solution was added to each well containing cells in 0.1 ml of medium at the second or third day after transfection. Cell cycle distribution was determined by Hoechst 33342 staining with 10 μg/ml of Hoechst 33342 for 90 minutes at 37°C.

**Invasion and Migration Assay**

In invasion assay, cells were plated in Biocoat Matrigel invasion chambers (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) at a cell density of 2.5 × 10^4 per chamber in serum-free medium according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Invasion cells through the membrane were stained with Diff-Quik (Sysmex, Kobe, Japan) and counted using a microscope. The xCELLigence system was used according to the instructions of the supplier (Roche Applied Science and ACEA Biosciences) [8]. Cells were seeded at a density of 20,000 cells/well with RPMI1640 on CIM-plate 16 with 8-μm pores (Roche Diagnostics GmbH). In migration assay, SW839 and 769-p (1.5 × 10^5 cells/well) or HEK293T (5.0 × 10^5 cells/well) cells were first plated onto a 24-well culture plate and cultured for 24 hours at 37°C. After rinsing with PBS, experimental wounds were made by dragging a plastic pipette tip across the central line of the culture plate, and the cultured medium was replaced by RPMI or Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 10% FBS. Before incubation, a digital image of a space that included the scraped edge on both sides of the wound was taken. After incubation of 10 to 24 hours, a digital image of the same space was taken, and migration of cells was evaluated by counting the average number of migrated cells in the space between the scraped edges.

**Rho Activity Assay and Western Blot Analysis**

Cells were lysed with a RIPA buffer [20 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.1% Na-deoxycholate, 3 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM Na_3VO_4, phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma), and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Germany)]. Cell lysates were loaded on 7.5% to 15% SDS-PAGE gel. After transfer onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Hybond Extra C, Amersham Biosciences), the membrane was incubated overnight at 4°C with antibody. Anti-GAPDH Ab [rabbit polyclonal IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology)] diluted 1:1000 was used for detection of GAPDH for control. Primary antibodies were used as below. PARG1 (ARHGAP29 MaxPab polyclonal antibody) Ab was from Abnova (Taiwan, Taipei), p21Cip1/Waf1 Ab was from Carbioche (Germany), p53 (DO-1) Ab was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Delaware Avenue, CA), and phosphor-p53 (ser15) Ab was from Cell Signaling Technology. GAPDH was used as control. The activity of RhoA was determined in

---

**Figure 1.** Identification of RCC antigen PARG1 by SEREX and expression of PARG1 mRNA in normal kidney, RCC tissues, and RCC cell lines. (A) Presence of anti-PARG1 IgG from sera of RCC was detected by Western blot analysis with recombinant His-tagged PARG1 protein. Detection samples are shown in red. (B) Frequent detection of anti-PARG1 IgG in sera from patients with RCC was evaluated by ELISA. ELISA was done with the recombinant PARG1 protein. The horizontal line indicates the cutoff value for positivity (OD = 0.032: the average absorbance of the healthy individuals plus 2 SD). Positive sera were found in 13 of 24 (54.2%) patients with RCC but not in healthy donors. (C) Expression of PARG1 mRNA in normal kidney and RCC tissue in the same RCC patient sample was detected by qPCR analysis. GAPDH mRNA expression was used as an internal control. (D) Expression of PARG1 in human RCC cell lines was detected by qPCR analysis. GAPDH was used as an internal control. HEK293T was used as control sample for this assay.
SW839, 769-p, and HEK293T cells using specific pull-down assay kit for activated forms of Rho proteins. Rhotekin-RBD was used to precipitate GTP-bound RhoA from cell lysates. Active GTP-bound RhoA and total RhoA were visualized by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting using a RhoA-specific moAb (26C4; Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Statistical Analyses

Results are presented as the mean ± standard error. Variables of different groups were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. The independence of fit of categorical data was analyzed by the χ² test. Survival curves were constructed by the Kaplan-Meier method, and the differences between them were assessed using the log-rank test. Cox’s proportional hazard regression model was used for univariate and multivariate analyses. In all tests, a P value less than .05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Identification of PARG1 as a New RCC Antigen

To identify RCC antigens which are possibly useful for diagnosis and treatment of RCC patients, we applied SEREX technology with prognostic markers, and potential therapeutic targets have been previously identified [7]. Screening of a cDNA library generated from 4 RCC cell lines with serum from 1 RCC patient resulted in the isolation of a total of 182 positive clones. Among them, 176 were found to be PTPL1-associated RhoGAPI PARG1. Other positive clones were ferritin, fibronectin, GHRHR, ribosomal protein L23a, ribosomal protein L34, and actin gamma-1. PARG1 was reported to be a negative regulator of RhoA signaling via its RhoGAP activity [9,10] and involved in malignant phenotypes of some cancers including glioma [11]. However, the roles of PARG1 expressed in RCC have not yet been evaluated. Thus, we have performed further studies on PARG1 expressed in RCC cells.

At first, we evaluated the presence of anti-PARG1 IgG using sera from RCC patients and healthy donors. Serum IgG specific for PARG1 was detected in 14 of 31 patients with RCC (45.2%) but detected in only 1 of 12 (8.3%) healthy donors when tested by Western blot analysis using a recombinant His-tagged PARG1 protein (558-891aa) (Figure 1A). Higher titers of anti-PARG1 IgG were detected in sera of RCC patients than those of healthy donors when evaluated by ELISA analysis (Figure 1B). In addition, PARG1-specific IgG was less frequently detected in sera of patients with other cancers (Supplementary Figure 1). Therefore, these results indicated that PARG1 is an immunogenic antigen particularly in RCC patients.

Next, we evaluated the expression level of PARG1 mRNA using various human normal tissues, RCC patient samples, and RCC cell lines. The expression of PARG1 in various human normal tissues was examined by RT-PCR (Supplementary Figure 2). The PARG1 showed relatively high expression in some of the normal tissues including heart, spleen, testis, placenta, stomach, and colon. PARG1 was also expressed in normal kidney. These results were similar with a previous report [9]. Next, we examined the expression level of PARG1 in RCC patient samples including both normal and tumor tissue by qPCR. As shown in Figure 1C, PARG1 expression levels in RCC tissue were higher than normal tissue from several patients (nos. 2, 4, 5, and 7). However, others had the same expression levels of PARG1 (nos. 1, 6, and 9) or lower (nos. 3 and 8). The expression of PARG1 in human RCC cell lines was also evaluated by qPCR. All RCC cell lines expressed PARG1 higher than HEK293T (Figure 1D).

These results suggested that PARG mRNA expression level in RCC tissue was different among patients when compared with normal kidney tissue. There was no relationship between presence of anti-PARG1 IgG and PARG1 mRNA expression in RCC patient samples.

Differential expression of PARG1 in RCC

To investigate of expression level of PARG1 in patients with RCC in detail, we performed immunohistochemical analysis using paraffin-embedded RCC tissues. Representative immunostainings were shown in Figure 2A. PARG1 was expressed in proximal tubules of noncancer regions of kidneys (Figure 2Aa), and PARG1 expressions varied among RCC tissues (Figure 2A). The staining intensity of each RCC tissue was determined semiquantitatively by comparing the intensity of normal proximal tubules in the same tissue sections. PARG1 expression levels of each RCC tissue were classified into three levels: level 1: negative or almost negative PARG1 staining (n = 10), level 2: PARG1 staining in RCC was clearly less than that of normal proximal tubules in the same tissue sections (n = 42), and level 3: PARG1 staining in RCC was the same as normal proximal tubules in the same tissue sections (n = 23). The representative results were shown in Figure 2A (b: level 1, c: level 2, d: level 3). The expression of PARG1 protein in some RCC tissues was also evaluated by Western blot analysis using two PARG1 antibodies (mouse and chicken), and similar quantitative results to those of the immunostaining were obtained (data not shown). The distant metastasis and microvascular invasion of RCC showed high PARG1 staining (Figure 2Ae, e: metastasis in pancreas, f: metastasis in lymph nodes, g and h: microvascular invasion). These results suggested that PARG1 expression level was different among RCC patients but highly expressed in metastatic regions. Therefore, PARG1 was involved in invasive and metastatic activity of RCC cells.

Correlation of PARG1 Expression with Poor Prognosis and Recurrence in RCC Patients

We then attempted to investigate the possible roles of PARG1 in malignant characteristics of RCC and clinicopathological features of RCC patients. Seventy-four RCC patients were divided into groups of high PARG1 expression (level 1 and 2, n = 51) or high PARG1 expression (level 3, n = 23). As shown in Table 1A, high PARG1 expression was significantly correlated with higher pT stages (P = .0366), lymph node metastasis (P = .0003), presence of G3 component (P = .0046), microvascular invasion (P = .0168), and Ki-67 score (P < .001) compared with low PARG1 expression (Table 1). Representative Ki-67 staining results are shown in Figure 2B, and positive correlations were observed between the presence of Ki-67-positive cells and the expression levels of PARG1 (Figure 2B). These results indicated that PARG1 might be involved in the malignant features of RCC because microvascular invasion and lymph node metastasis are related to invasion ability of RCC cells, and Ki-67 score is related to proliferation ability of RCC cells.

We then examined the relationship between PARG1 expression and overall survival in 74 RCC patients evaluated by Kaplan-Meier analysis. RCC patients with high PARG1 expression had significantly lower survival rates than those with low PARG1 expression (P = .0345) (Figure 2C). Five-year overall survival rate of the patients with high PARG1 expression was 51.5%, whereas that of patients with low PARG1 expression was 78.7%. In univariate analysis about the correlation between clinicopathological features and survival in patients with RCC, high PARG1 expression was significantly correlated with poor survival (Table 2). However, in multivariate analysis, high PARG1 was not an independent predictor for survival in RCC patients, and distant metastasis was the only independent...
predictor ($P = .0009$) (Table 2). We also examined the relationship between PARG1 expression and recurrence-free survival of 53 RCC patients without distant metastasis or lymph node metastasis (N0M0 patients). Patients with high PARG1 expression had significantly lower recurrence-free survival rates than those with low PARG1 expression ($P = .0084$) (Figure 2D). Five-year recurrence-free survival rate of patients with high PARG1 expression was 60.6%, and that of patients with low PARG1 expression was 92.7%. The correlation between
overexpression vector (pcDNA3.1-PARG1) significantly upregulated PARG1 expression level of both mRNA and protein compared with pcDNA3.1 control vector (Figure 3A). Knockdown of PARG1 resulted in decreased cell proliferation of SW839 and 769-p RCC cell lines significantly compared with controls, and overexpression of PARG1 resulted in increased cell proliferation of HEK293T cells significantly compared with control when evaluated by WST-1 assay (Figure 3B, left). Similar results were obtained when cell growth was evaluated by counting cell numbers (Figure 3B, right). Cell cycle analysis on SW839 and 769-p with PARG1 siRNA treatment showed that knockdown of PARG1 by siRNAs resulted in G1 cell cycle arrest (Figure 3C). We also performed caspase 3/7 assay with the PARG1 siRNA-treated SW839, and it indicated no apoptosis induction on RCC cells by PARG1 downregulation (Supplementary Figure 3). Western blot analysis of cell cycle–related molecules including p53 and p21Cip1/Waf1 in RCC cell lines (SW839 and 769-p) revealed that downregulation of PARG1 increased p53 protein and phosphorylation of p53 at Ser-15, as well as increased p53 downstream CDK inhibitor p21Cip1/Waf1 which inhibited the cell cycle at G1-S phase (Figure 3D). These results indicated that PARG1 is involved in RCC cell proliferation through downregulation of phosphorylated p53 and p21Cip1/Waf1 expressions but without induction of apoptosis.

Enhancement of RCC Cell Invasion Ability by PARG1 through Inhibition of RhoA Activity

Next, the roles of PARG1 in invasion ability of RCC cells were examined. Knockdown of PARG1 by siRNA significantly inhibited invasion ability of SW839 and 769-p RCC cell lines, and overexpression of PARG1 significantly increased invasion ability of HEK293T compared with corresponding controls when evaluated by Matrigel invasion assay (Figure 4A). Similarly, when cell motilities of these transfected cells were evaluated by wound healing analysis, downregulation of PARG1 significantly inhibited cell motility of SW839 and 769-p, and overexpression of PARG1 significantly increased cell motility of HEK293T cells compared with controls (Figure 4B). Because PARG1 has a RhoGAP activity, we examined its activity by measuring RhoA-GTP pulled down with GST-RBD using Western blot analysis and anti-Rhoa Abs. Knockdown of PARG1 by siRNAs increased RhoA-GTP in SW839 and 769-p RCC cells, and overexpression of PARG1 by PARG1-cDNA vector transfection decreased RhoA-GTP in HEK293T cells (Figure 4C). RhoA is the key regulator of the actin cytoskeleton by stimulating actin stress fiber formation [12,13]. We evaluated actin stress fiber formation of SW839 RCC cells by immunofluorescent staining. As shown in Figure 4D, SW839 treated with PARG1 siRNA showed well-spread–type morphology with constructed actin stress fiber formation (Figure 4D). It means that RhoA activity induced stress fiber formation that showed no invasion
type for RCC [14]. MMP2 activity was also decreased in the siRNA-treated SW839, although 769-p did not produce MMP2 (data not shown). These results demonstrated that PARG1 promotes invasion ability of RCC cells mainly through increased cell motility via inhibition of RhoA activity.

Enhancement of RCC Cell Proliferation and Invasion by PARG1 through Inhibition of RhoA-ROCK Signaling

To further investigate RhoA-dependent mechanism of PARG1 on cell proliferation and invasion of RCC cells, we performed rescue experiments using a ROCK inhibitor, Y27632, because a serine/threonine kinase ROCK is one of the major effectors of RhoA, and the RhoA-ROCK axis is involved in functions of various cancer cells [15]. ROCK regulates the phosphorylation of multiple downstream targets and induces actin stress fiber [15]. Cell proliferation of SW839 transfected with PARG1 siRNA was decreased when evaluated by WST-1 assay; however, addition of Y27632 at day 2 in the cell cultures recovered cell proliferation compared with control PBS treatment (Figure 5A). Similarly, invasion ability of SW839 transfected with PARG1 siRNA was decreased when evaluated using xCELLigence assay; however, addition of Y27632 recovered its invasion ability compared with control (Figure 5B). When we tested the effects of Y27632 on the expression of p53 and p21^{Cip1/Waf1} protein expression by Western blotting in SW839 and 769-p, GAPDH was used as control. Representative results from three independent experiments (C, D).
These results indicate that PARG1 expressed in human RCC cells promotes cell proliferation via inhibition of RhoA-ROCK-p53-p21 pathway and invasion ability via inhibition of RhoA-ROCK-actin fiber formation (Figure 5D).

**Discussion**

In this study, we show that PARG1 is expressed in proximal tubule cells of normal kidney and varies among RCC patients. In some RCC patients, PARG1 expression level is lower than normal proximal tubule, although clear cell type of RCC is thought to be derived from proximal tubule cells. RCC cells may originate from proximal tubule stem cells [16] and may change the expression level of PARG1 during malignancy. To reveal the mechanism of differential expression of PARG1 in RCC, identification of PARG1 regulator is needed. In previous reports, Rasip1 (Ras interacting protein 1) capable of binding to PARG1 was shown to suppress RhoA signaling in developing blood vessels, leading to upregulation of C-Raf, pC-Raf, and pErk in endothelial tubulogenesis [17]. Also, IRF6 was shown to
PARG1, one of the RhoGAPs, contains the GAP domain and functions as a negative regulator of RhoA signaling [9,10]. The role of PARG1 in cancer is not well known, although it was suggested to be associated with invasion in glioma [11]. Previous reports suggested that RhoA promotes cell invasion and migration in various human cancers [19]. On the other hand, RhoA was reported to inhibit cell motility and invasion in some types of cancers including colon cancer [20] and glioblastoma [21]. Therefore, in RhoA, these different biological roles remain controversial. Our studies show that PARG1 is involved in RCC invasion and migration via inhibition of RhoA-ROCK-actin fiber formation, and PARG1 also stimulated mesenchymal morphology in RCC cell lines. In E-cadherin–deficient human RCC cell lines, a cadherin–associated protein, p120 catenin isoform-1, was reported to promote cell invasion and migration through inhibition of RhoA activity [6]. SW839 and 769-p that we used in in vitro studies are also E-cadherin–deficient cell lines, so PARG1 seems to have the same role with p120 catenin in RCC cells. Future study to reveal the relationship between the regulation of RhoA activity by PARG1 and p120 catenin is required in RCC cells.

In addition, downregulation of RhoA was reported to be involved in induction EMT of renal proximal tubular cells through upregulation of snail [22]. However, PARG1 did not affect the expression of EMT-related genes including snail, E-cadherin, and vimentin in RCC cell lines in our study (data not shown). Therefore, PARG1 may not be involved in the typical EMT in RCC cells. Other have shown that low expression of RhoA evaluated by immunohistochemistry was associated with poor outcome in patients with colon cancer [23], and a weak intensity of cytoplasmic RhoA was associated with short overall survival in

Figure 5. PARG1 promoted cell proliferation and invasion through inhibition of RhoA-ROCK signaling. (A) Dependency of cell proliferation ability on RhoA-ROCK signaling was evaluated by WST-1 assay with Rho-ROCK inhibitor Y27632 (1 μM) on PARG1-silenced SW839 RCC cells. Cell proliferation was restored in PARG1 siRNA transfected SW839 cell line by addition of Y27632 at day 2, compared with PBS treatment. *P < .05, **P < .1; data are presented as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. (B) Dependency of cell invasion ability on RhoA-ROCK signaling was evaluated by xCELLigence system analysis as described in Materials and Methods with Y27632 (1 μM) on PARG1-silenced SW839 cells by siRNA. PBS was used as control. Cell invasion ability was rescued by treatment with Y27632 in PARG1 siRNA transfected. SW839 cells transfected with scrambled or PARG1 siRNAs were treated with PBS or Y27632. *P < .05, **P < .1; data are presented as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. (C) The impact of Rho-ROCK inhibition on expressions of p53, p-p53 (Ser15), and p21Cip1/Waf1 was evaluated by Western blotting in SW839 cells treated with scrambled or PARG1 siRNAs. Representative results from three independent experiments. PBS was used as control. (D) Schematic representation of the functional role of PARG1 involved in cell proliferation, migration, and invasion through regulation of RhoA-ROCK signaling pathway.
patients with pancreatic ductal cancer [24]. Our data show that PARG1 expression is related to poor outcome in RCC patients; however, the relationship between RhoA expression level and clinicopathological outcome is not still clear. Further work is needed to reveal impact of PARG1 to RhoA activity in RCC patient samples.

RhoA is also reported to affect cell proliferation as below. The possible dual role of RhoA was previously proposed from the observations that low RhoA activity led to stress fiber formation and high RhoA activity resulted in cell cycle arrest via disruption of the actin cytoskeleton and microtubules in cervical cells [25]. Furthermore, RhoA activates downstream serine threonine kinase ROCK; RhoA-ROCK signaling increased expression of p21 and induced apoptosis in prostate cancer [26,27] and breast cancer [28]. RhoA-ROCK pathway also induces p53-mediated upregulation of Bax to activate a mitochondrial apoptosis in cardiomyocytes [29]. However, we showed that PARG1 was not involved in the apoptosis of RCC cell lines and only affected cell proliferation ability in our data. We also have clarified the mechanisms of PARG1-RhoA-ROCK-p53-P21 signaling pathway in malignant progression of human RCC cells.

Despite advances in the treatment of patients with RCC, approximately a third of patients who undergo surgery for clinically localized RCC will relapse [30]. Effective molecular markers for prognosis, metastasis, and recurrence of RCC need to be identified. Conventional prognostic factors such as nucleolar grade, TMN stage, performance status, anemia, inflammatory reaction, and thrombocytosis are prognosis predictors in RCC [31–33]. With regard to the prediction for relapse, clinical factors such as SSIGN (the tumor stage, size, grade, and necrosis) score and preoperative CRP are predictors for recurrence [33]. Molecular markers previously reported to have prognostic significance in RCC patients are CAIX, Ki-67 [34,35], and growth factors [36,37]. Low CAIX immunostaining [38] and high proliferative index assessed by Ki-67 [35] were associated with poor survival. As shown in this study, PARG1 expression was found to be associated with cell proliferation–related factors such as Ki-67–positive cells, invasion-related factors such as microvascular invasion and lymph node metastasis, and poor prognosis with high recurrence in RCC patients. Multivariate analysis showed that high PARG1 expression is an independent factor for recurrence in N0M0 RCC patients. Therefore, PARG1 expression in RCC is an attractive biomarker for prediction of recurrence and poor prognosis of RCC patients. In addition to PARG1 itself, serum IgG specific for PARG1 was observed in about half of RCC patients tested but not in healthy donors. Based on the data, PARG1 IgG may also be a biomarker for RCC patients for following up after standard treatments such as surgery, molecular targeted therapy, and immunotherapy. Continuation of high-titer PARG1-specific IgG may suggest the residual RCC in the patients. Presence of PARG1-specific IgG may also suggest the high PARG1 expression in RCC cells, meaning that the patients with high PARG1-specific IgG may have high recurrence and poor prognosis. However, we were not able to evaluate the correlation between presence of serum anti-PARG1 IgG and expression of PARG1 in RCC tissues because of the unavailability of paired samples of serum and tumor tissues. These studies remain to be evaluated. One of the tumor antigens, NY-ESO-1, has recently been reported to be a marker for early recurrence of liver cancer after surgery [39], and serum anti-NY-ESO-1 IgG was also utilized as an early diagnosis of breast cancer [40]. Therefore, PARG1 and specific serum IgG may be useful biomarker for diagnosis in patients with RCC.

Conclusions
Taken together, we revealed that PARG1 is involved in malignant phenotypes of RCC by increasing cancer cell proliferation and invasion ability through inhibition of RhoA-ROCK axis to promote metastasis, recurrence, and poor survival of RCC patients. These findings may provide a new insight in RCC diagnostic and treatment development.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2016.12.004.
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